

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOI/PA
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET
FOI/PA# 1468393-0

Total Deleted Page(s) = 42

- Page 25 ~ Duplicate;
- Page 55 ~ Duplicate;
- Page 77 ~ Duplicate;
- Page 78 ~ Duplicate;
- Page 79 ~ Duplicate;
- Page 80 ~ Duplicate;
- Page 81 ~ Duplicate;
- Page 82 ~ Duplicate;
- Page 83 ~ Duplicate;
- Page 84 ~ Duplicate;
- Page 85 ~ Duplicate;
- Page 86 ~ Duplicate;
- Page 87 ~ Duplicate;
- Page 88 ~ Duplicate;
- Page 99 ~ Duplicate;
- Page 100 ~ Duplicate;
- Page 101 ~ Duplicate;
- Page 102 ~ Duplicate;
- Page 105 ~ b5; b6; b7C;
- Page 106 ~ b5; b6; b7C;
- Page 107 ~ Duplicate;
- Page 108 ~ Duplicate;
- Page 109 ~ Duplicate;
- Page 110 ~ Duplicate;
- Page 111 ~ Duplicate;
- Page 112 ~ Duplicate;
- Page 113 ~ Duplicate;
- Page 114 ~ Duplicate;
- Page 115 ~ Duplicate;
- Page 116 ~ Duplicate;
- Page 117 ~ Duplicate;
- Page 118 ~ Duplicate;
- Page 119 ~ Duplicate;
- Page 120 ~ Duplicate;
- Page 121 ~ Duplicate;
- Page 122 ~ Duplicate;
- Page 123 ~ Duplicate;
- Page 124 ~ Duplicate;
- Page 125 ~ Duplicate;
- Page 126 ~ Duplicate;
- Page 127 ~ Duplicate;
- Page 128 ~ Duplicate;

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X Deleted Page(s) X
X No Duplication Fee X
X For this Page X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

(09/19/94)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Precedence: ROUTINE

Date: 05/30/1996

To: LOS ANGELES

Attn: SAC

From: SA [redacted]

Squad C-1

Contact: SA [redacted]

b6
b7C

Approved By: [redacted] *RGA*

Drafted By: [redacted]

:jl

Case ID #: 9A-LA-NEW (Pending)

Title: UNSUB;

CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY - VICTIM;
THREATENING COMMUNICATIONS

Synopsis: Opening of new 9A matter.

Details: For information, captioned matter was predicated on a telephone call on 5/17/96, from [redacted] Security Director, Church of Scientology, (213) 960-3500. [redacted] advised writer that a message had been received on this date by the church via the Internet which told of a plan to bomb the Scientology Building in Los Angeles, commit terrorist acts against high profile members of the church (Tom Cruise, Kirstie Alley, John Travolta), and attack the premiere of CRUISE's new movie, "Mission Impossible." The message was transmitted via an anonymous re-mailer which [redacted] had identified as being one [redacted]

b6
b7C

Subsequent contact with [redacted] and church legal counsel [redacted] determined that remailers typically retain records which can identify the sender's identity for about 24 hours. As a result, [redacted] requested that the FBI make contact with [redacted] in order to obtain that information.

b6
b7C

On this same date, writer attempted to contact [redacted] with negative results. A detailed message was left on his answering machine requesting that he contact the FBI. [redacted] did in fact leave a message on writer's voice mail later this evening, basically stating that he had no way of determining sender's identity for the message that had been sent to the Church of Scientology. [redacted] also indicated that [redacted] had attempted to contact him as well.

b6
b7C

6/3/96
5/30/96
SA [redacted]
RGA

b6
b7C

SERIALIZED	INDEXED
FILED	
MAY 30 1996	
9A-LA-198191-1	
FBI - LOS ANGELES	

To: LOS ANGELES From: SA [redacted]
Re: 9A-LA-NEW, May 30, 1996

b6
b7C

On 5/22/96, writer again recontacted [redacted].
At this time, they provided the names of two individuals whom
they believed to be capable of sending the initial message. They
identified [redacted] placing more emphasis
on [redacted]. Both [redacted] have an ongoing history of
litigation against the church. [redacted] furnished their addresses as
[redacted] for [redacted] and [redacted]
[redacted] for [redacted] also
advised at this time that the church had received a telephonic
bomb threat at 8 A.M. on 5/20/96, which was relayed to the Los
Angeles Police Department (LAPD). The call was received at the
Celebrity Church International Security Office, 5930 Franklin
Avenue in Los Angeles. Sweeps conducted by LAPD and church
security yielded negative results.

b6
b7C

In view of the above, it is recommended that a new 9A
case be opened and assigned to writer.

◆◆

(09/19/94)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Precedence: ROUTINE

Date: 06/10/1996

To: MIAMI

From: LOS ANGELES

SQUAD C-1

Contact: SA [redacted]

Approved By: [redacted]

Drafted By: [redacted]

rc

Case ID #: 9A-LA-198191 (Pending) -2

Title: UNSUB;
CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY - VICTIM;
THREATENING COMMUNICATIONS
OO: LOS ANGELES

Synopsis: Request of Miami to interview [redacted] regarding captioned matter.

Enclosures: Enclosed for Miami is a one(1) page copy of the Internet message sent to CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY on 5/17/96, signed "a concerned citizen".

Details: For information of Miami, captioned case was predicated upon a complaint from [redacted] Security Director of the CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY, Los Angeles, regarding an anonymous threat that had been received, via the Internet, by the church on 5/17/96. The message was sent by way of a "re-mailer" which is designed to mask the identity of the actual sender. The message told of a plan to bomb the SCIENTOLOGY Building in Los Angeles, commit terrorist acts against high profile members of the church [redacted]

[redacted] and attack the premiere of [redacted] "Mission Impossible". The message is such that it appears that the anonymous sender is simply warning church members and identifies the culprit of the above planned acts as one [redacted]

Contact with the re-mailer, one [redacted] in Santa Barbara, California, disclosed that he had no way of determining the sender's identity. He pointed out that the whole purpose of the re-mailer service was to afford anyone the opportunity to

9A-LA-198191-2
SEARCHED _____
INDEXED _____
SERIALIZED *CA*
FILED *DA*

Ch 1/1/96

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

To: MIAMI From: LOS ANGELES
Re: 9A-LA-198191, June 10, 1996

send e-mail via the Internet without fear of discovery. Although [redacted] places a disclaimer on all the messages sent, he acknowledged that under the current system, there is always the chance that someone will abuse the system, as apparently has happened in this case.

b6
b7C

[redacted] has provided Los Angeles with extensive background information on one [redacted] the person suspected by CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY as being the actual sender of the message. According to [redacted] has never been a practicing member of the church, yet has claimed fictitious positions on church staff and insists that he had a relationship with the church. He currently has a lawsuit in Los Angeles Superior Court against the church. [redacted] has furnished documentation confirming that [redacted] has served federal prison time for earlier attempts to frame the church for criminal acts he was committing as well as for mail fraud. FBI Miami investigated this matter.

b6
b7C

[redacted] is very active on the Internet. He is deeply involved with the Internet news group "Alt.religion.scientology", a discussion group which specializes in criticism of the SCIENTOLOGY religion. [redacted] is also active in this group and [redacted] have been engaged in bitter disputes, via the Internet, after [redacted] a former church member who has been attacking CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY for years, began exposing some of [redacted] attacks on the church as groundless and based on lies. It is because of this ongoing feud that [redacted] suspects that [redacted] not [redacted] sent the message.

b6
b7C

To: MIAMI From: LOS ANGELES
Re: 9A-LA-198191, June 10, 1996

LEAD (s):

Set Lead 1:

MIAMI

AT DAVIE, FLORIDA

Interview [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] regarding the threats sent to Church of
SCIENTOLOGY via the Internet, and any knowledge he may have of
said threats.

b6
b7c

◆◆

Date: Fri, 17 May 1996 02:39 -0700

To: webmaster@scientology.org

From: anonymous-remailer@shell.portal.com

Subject: Information on a potential threat

Comments: This message is NOT from the person listed in the From line. It is from an automated software remailing service operating at that address.

THE PORTAL SYSTEM DOES NOT CONDONE OR APPROVE OF THE CONTENTS OF THIS POSTING.
Please report problem mail to [redacted] com>.

b6
b7C

I'm writing to inform you of some new information that's been uncovered on a man named [redacted]. It has been discovered that he is planning on another avenue of terrorism against the Church besides Copyright terrorism. He has been secretly meeting with "right wing" patriot and Aryan groups and has been recruiting several members for planned terrorist

b6
b7C

attacks on church offices all across America. He had connections with several in the last

three years, among them, Timothy Mcveigh, the man accused of destroying the A.P. Murrah Federal building in Oklahoma City. [redacted] is planning a similar attack on the

b6
b7C

Scientology building in Los Angeles next week. He is also planning on targeting celebrities for assassination, among them, [redacted]

[redacted] and a plan for an attack on the premiere of Mission Impossible, Mr. Cruise's new film. It is imperative that the BATF and FBI be contacted to counteract [redacted]

[redacted] plan before in!

no! cent persons, Scientologist or non-Scientologist are maimed or killed. The DEA will also have to be called in since [redacted] is using drug sales to support his terrorist plan as well as bogus checks created by the Freemen in Jordan, Montana..

b6
b7C

I will remain anonymous out of fear for my life. This man is a potential killer and must be stopped.

A concerned citizen.

(09/19/94)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Precedence: ROUTINE

Date: 06/10/1996

To: LOS ANGELES

Attn: SAC

From: SA [redacted]

SQUAD C-1

Contact: SA [redacted]

b6
b7C

Approved By: [redacted]

Drafted By: [redacted] rc

Case ID #: 9A-LA-198191 (Pending)

Title: UNSUB;
CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY - VICTIM;
THREATENING COMMUNICATIONS

Synopsis: Contact made with Detective [redacted]

LAPD. b6
b7C

Details: For information, on 5/30/96, writer telephonically contacted Detective [redacted] Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD), (213) 893-8111. [redacted] name had been furnished to writer by Church of Scientology officials as the person who had been contacted regarding captioned matter.

b6
b7C

Detective [redacted] acknowledged knowing about the threat received by the church via the Internet on 5/17/96, and the fact that church officials had identified one [redacted] as the possible culprit. However, he stated that absent any hard evidence, he was not focusing on [redacted] and was simply monitoring the situation at this time. [redacted] also stated that church officials had advised him of a telephonic bomb threat which had been received on 5/20/96. However, this was a distinct and separate matter not related to captioned case.

b6
b7C

◆◆

9A LA-198191-3

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUN 14 1996	
FBI - LOS ANGELES	

Handwritten initials or marks at the bottom left corner.

The following investigation was conducted by Special Agent [redacted] at Los Angeles, California:

b6
b7C

On May 28, 1996, SA [redacted] of the Federal Bureau of Investigation Los Angeles Field Office telephonically contacted [redacted] who resides in Santa Barbara, at telephone [redacted] name had been provided to the FBI by Church of Scientology attorney [redacted] as the "anonymous remailer" on the Internet through whom a bomb threat against the church and a death threat against church members were received.

b6
b7C

[redacted] who had already been contacted by church officials, appeared cooperative in trying to explain how the message was received by the church. He explained that as an "anonymous remailer", he facilitates the sending of messages by anyone on the Internet. Some individuals, for whatever reasons, prefer to send their messages anonymously and he makes it possible. He does have a disclaimer which states that he neither approves nor condones whatever message is transmitted.

b6
b7C

[redacted] recalled the message in question but stated that it would be impossible to track the sender's origin since the system is designed to specifically prevent him from doing so. [redacted] admitted considering "closing up shop" since an unscrupulous few are causing him problems of this sort. He advised that church officials had approached him about utilizing a special filter that would be able to "trap" any threatening communications. He stated that technique made no sense to him technically and added that he questioned whether a filter could be selective enough in determining what key words and the way they were used would constitute a threat.

b6
b7C

[redacted] advised that as a result of the threat and his conversations with church officials, he had agreed to block the church's address on his system. Therefore, no other threat should be able to get through the remailer for CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY. He asked church officials if they wished him to relay the same request to the other handful of remailers in the country. However, it was his feeling that the church, a controversial body to begin with, did not wish to draw unnecessary attention to itself at this time, and increase an already high profile.

b6
b7C

[redacted] stated that he was willing to cooperate with the FBI and offered to answer any additional questions as they related to the Internet and the remailer.

b6
b7C

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Precedence: ROUTINE

Date: 08/06/1996

To: LOS ANGELES

PPH
SW
From: MIAMI

C-1 SQUAD

Contact: SA [redacted]

Approved By: [redacted]

Drafted By: [redacted] eoj

b6
b7C

Case ID #: 9A-LA-198191 (Pending)

Title: UNSUB;
CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY - VICTIM;
THREATENING COMMUNICATIONS
OO: LOS ANGELES

b6
b7C

Synopsis: Results of [redacted] interview.

Reference: Los Angeles EC to Miami, dated 6/10/96.

Details: For information of Los Angeles, on August 6, 1996, [redacted], Date of birth: [redacted] SSAN: [redacted] was contacted and interviewed at his residence, [redacted] Telephone: [redacted]

b6
b7C

During the interview, [redacted] was shown the anonymous threats received by the Church of Scientology via the internet. [redacted] responded by emphatically advising he was not responsible for the aforementioned anonymous threats. Additionally, [redacted] acknowledged that he actively criticizes the Church of Scientology via the internet, however, he does this publicly and not anonymously. [redacted] advised he takes full responsibility for all of his articles against the Church of Scientology and even though he has strong feelings against the Church, he has no reason to send threats to the Church or intentions to do so. Furthermore [redacted] recognized that sending threats via the internet is illegal. [redacted] advised that all of his articles can be reviewed via the internet using the following personal website address: HHP://www.xs4all.nl [redacted]

b6
b7C

In reference to [redacted] admitted not liking [redacted] however, this disliking is based on [redacted] hypocrisy. [redacted] mentioned that [redacted] has physically abused

b6
b7C

SEARCHED _____	INDEXED _____
SERIALIZED _____	FILED _____
AUG 8 1996	

JMS

(06/01/1995)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

To: LOS ANGELES From: MIAMI
Re: 9A-MM-198191, 08/06/1996

and molested his daughters in the past, but still continues to represent himself as a Reverend.

According to [redacted] a restraining order was issued in Clearwater, Florida against [redacted] restricting him from the Church of Scientology of Clearwater, due to prior violence against the Church. It is for these reasons that [redacted] dislikes [redacted] and not for his views against the Church. [redacted] has been public about his personal dislike against [redacted] and would never attack him anonymously.

b6
b7C

In the meantime, [redacted] recognized that the Church of Scientology probably suspected him of writing these threats due to his public dislike of the Church and [redacted] Additionally, [redacted] does not believe [redacted] would do something of this manner, however, would not put it past the Church, inasmuch as both he and [redacted] are public opponents of the Church.

b6
b7C

Based on the above mentioned, no further investigation will be conducted in Miami.

(09/19/94)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Precedence: ROUTINE

Date: 07/29/1996

To: LOS ANGELES

Attn: SAC

From: SA [redacted]

Squad C-1

Contact: SA [redacted]

Approved By [redacted]

Drafted By: [redacted] :jl

Case ID #: 9A-LA-198191 (Pending)

Title: UNSUB;
CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY - VICTIM;
THREATENING COMMUNICATIONS

Synopsis: Reassignment of captioned matter.

Details: For information, writer is scheduled to attend Polygraph School at the Department of Defense Polygraph Institute (DODPI) in Alabama, from 8/5/96 to 11/8/96. As such, captioned matter should be reassigned to a Special Agent (SA) currently assigned to Squad C-1.

Reassign to

SA

[redacted]

b6
b7C

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

9A-LA-198191-6

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED <i>DA</i>	FILED <i>ca</i>
AUG - 6 1996	
FBI - LOS ANGELES	

LAW OFFICES [Redacted]

FOX PLAZA * 2121 AVENUE OF THE STARS * TWENTY-SECOND FLOOR * LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067-5010
TELEPHONE (310)-282-8973 * FAX (310)-286-2420

OF COUNSEL [Redacted]

213-960-1935

b6
b7c

FAX COVERSHEET

DATE: Aug 7, '96 TIME: _____

TO: Special Agent ^{C-1} Palacios FAX NO: [Redacted]

FROM: [Redacted]

b6
b7c

COMMENTS: _____

There are 5 pages to this transmission, including this page.

FACSIMILE

8-7-96

REC	MAL	9:50p
	INT.	DATE
		TIME

SENT		
	INT	DATE

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

The documents accompanying this transmission contain confidential information belonging to the sender which is privileged. The information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient named above, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or the taking of any action based on the contents of the accompanying documents is prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone to arrange for the return of the documents.

9A-LA-198191-7

SEARCHED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	INDEXED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
SERIALIZED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FILED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
OCT - 8 1996	
FBI - LOS ANGELES	

AUG 07 '96 21:43 FR

TO 9131099633

P.02/05

LAW OFFICES

[Redacted]

b6
b7C

FOX PLAZA • 2121 AVENUE OF THE STARS • TWENTY-SECOND FLOOR • LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067-5010
TELEPHONE (310) 282-8975 • FAX (310) 286-2420

OF COUNSEL

[Redacted]

August 7, 1996

VIA TELEFAX

Special Agent [Redacted]
Federal Bureau of Investigation
11000 Wilshire Blvd.
Los Angeles, CA 90024

Re: [Redacted]

Dear [Redacted]

Attached you will find the predictable response of [Redacted]
to the interview by Miami FBI Agent [Redacted]

b6
b7C

Please call me so that we can discuss any response.

Sincerely,

[Redacted Signature]

EA:jjr

Enc.



Article 2 of 4

Subject: FBI Agent Ortiz Investigates a.r.s. Unabomber
From: "STEVE FISHMAN" </or @ix.netcom.com>
Date: 1996/08/07
Message-Id: <01hb8403\$b89bb0c0\$99c720cc@/or-.ix.netcom.com>
Organization: Netcom
X-Netcom-Date: Tue Aug 06 8:56:43 PM CDT 1996
Newsgroups: alt.religion.scientology

Dear alt.religion.scientology,

I met with Special Agent Junior Ortiz of the Miami FBI today at 5 PM. I invited Mr. Ortiz to my home in order to discuss an anonymous threat which the FBI received via a complaint filed by the Church of Scientology in Los Angeles.

Agent Ortiz showed me a document which was sent through an anonymous remailer to webmaster@scientology.org which stated that Dennis Erlich had threatened to blow up various Scientology buildings.

According to the complaint, the Church of Scientology accused me of sending this anonymous remail to them because of my personal disagreement with Erlich.

Agent Ortiz, whose telephone number in Miami is (305) 787-6610, asked me if I had sent the letter. I did not, and I told him.

He also asked if, in my opinion, Dennis Erlich sent it. I told him that Dennis could not have sent it either.

The e-mail "warned" Scientology that Dennis Erlich was a "close friend of Timothy McVeigh" (from the Oklahoma bombing) and a member of the Aryan militia. I told Agent Ortiz that Dennis may be a hypocritical "reverend" and the father from Hell, but he is not a right-wing skinhead and there is no way Dennis would have sent it. The e-mail also "warned" Scientology that Dennis was about to blow up some Scientology buildings.

That is when the red flag went up. This seemed awfully similar to what they tried to do with Henry, set him up for phony bomb threats. My theory is that the same person who went after Henry did this.

Scientology could have a great motive: to kill two birds with one stone. Either get Dennis in trouble (for made-up violence) or get me in trouble (for trying to set up Dennis).

The newsgroup knows that there is no love lost between Dennis and myself.

I can't stand him. However, I am not going to allow anyone to get framed --- including Dennis --- for anything they did NOT do, and this is a very obvious, transparent attempt to capitalize on the serious personal disagreement which Dennis and I have.

So now we come to the part about the anonymous remailer. A crime was committed here --- to frame me, or Dennis or both, and I am filing a complaint, and I have requested Agent Ortiz to go against the anonymous remailer in order to flush the perpetrator out, once and for all, and I believe it is the same person who tried to set up Henry a year ago.

I don't communicate with Dennis, but I am urging that he also contact Agent Ortiz and file his own complaint because the e-mail has attempted to implicate him in the most despicable criminal acts --- blowing up buildings, terrorism, etc. He can call Agent Ortiz at (305) 787-6610. Agent Ortiz may in the meantime get in touch with him.

Whoever did this thing out there isn't going to hide behind the anonymous remailer for long. This is terrorism --- a national security issue --- and it is my understanding that the anonymous remailing service will be served with subpoenas to produce these records. I might not be able to afford to do it, but the FBI is taking this case very seriously. And you can be sure, whoever did this, that I am going to be the biggest pain in the ass that anyone ever saw until I find out who sent this threatening letter.

This stinks of an inside OSA job --- right out of Operation Freakout of years ago, the operation conducted to frame Paulette Cooper. Scientology apparently thought they were in a no-lose situation. They could use an anonymous remailer, send a series of threats via e-mail to themselves implicating Dennis Erlich, figuring that either the FBI would take these stupid threats seriously and arrest Erlich or go after me as the possible perpetrator as Scientology "suggested" to the FBI.

One thing is certain --- I am filing a complaint, and I plan to meet with FBI agents later in the week who are experts in anonymous remailers. If Dennis joins in and files his own complaint, so much the better. At least he should contact Agent Ortiz and get the data so he can verify that there is an operation being run on him.

And, oh, yes, I did verify that I was actually talking to an FBI agent. (no more pest control episodes are ever going to be run on me).

I just want to let the a.r.s. unabomber know that anonymous remailers are not going to be a shield against terrorism and criminal activity. This unabomber is going to be caught. A court order will be obtained and the remailing service is going to have to fork over those records. There is a paper trail here... and the FBI is going to crack it wide open.

If you have any information about this, contact Agent Ortiz at (305) 787-6610.

The viewpoint I got is that if both Dennis and I file complaints, then the unabomber is going down, and is going to Outed big time, not only on a.r.s., but on the front page of every newspaper in the country.

I wonder whether the cult has just turned on "Jaws" (the super shredding machine at Flag) and/or is starting to re-write the a.r.s. unabomber's history too.

If the perpetrator is reading this, better turn yourself in to the FBI right now --- you might get a chance to plea bargain for a lighter

sentence.

Is it you, Eugene Ingram? Sure smells like it.

With Best Wishes,

Steve Fishman

--
STEVE FISHMAN
12980 S. W. 48th Street
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33330-2339
(954) 434-9777 Phone
(954) 680-1557 Fax
ffor@ix.netcom.com



(09/19/94)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Precedence: ROUTINE

Date: 11/21/1996

To: Los Angeles

From: Los Angeles

Squad C-1

Contact: SA [redacted]

Approved By: [redacted]

Drafted By: [redacted]

skn

b6
b7C

Case ID #: 9A-LA-198191 (Pending) —

Title: UNSUB;
CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY - VICTIM;
THREATENING COMMUNICATIONS

Synopsis: Case status.

Details: On 10/8/96, writer telephonically contacted [redacted] Attorney for captioned victim. [redacted] thereafter arranged for a conference call including [redacted] Security Director, Church of Scientology. During the conference call, writer informed [redacted] that investigation conducted by the FBI had met with negative results. [redacted] and [redacted] indicated that the Church of Scientology had recently been the victim of other threats and a resulting shooting, unrelated to captioned matter. [redacted] gave the example of the shooting as an indicator of attitudes of individuals who threaten the Church of Scientology.

b6
b7C

[redacted] thereafter advised they were pursuing other matters which they believe involve [redacted] advised he was in the process of compiling information regarding activities of [redacted] and that he would forward it directly to the writer. To date, the information has not been received from [redacted]

b6
b7C

On 11/20/96, writer recontacted [redacted] regarding the expected information regarding [redacted] advised he delayed sending the information because the Church of Scientology

b6
b7C

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
NOV 22 1996	
FBI - LOS ANGELES	

9A-LA-198191-8

SCIENCE, etc

To: Los Angeles From: Los Angeles
Re: 9A-LA-198191, November 21, 1996

had more incidents involving threats and subsequent violence
(unrelated to captioned matter).

Abelson advised he will forward the promised
information regarding [redacted] within the next few days.

b6
b7c

◆◆

May 20, 1996

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Special Agent [REDACTED]
Federal Bureau of Investigation
11000 Wilshire Blvd.
Suite 1700
Los Angeles, CA 90024

b6
b7C

Dear Special Agent [REDACTED]

Included with this letter is a general biography of [REDACTED] some of his Internet postings attacking [REDACTED] (and [REDACTED] attacking [REDACTED] and some commentary on [REDACTED] expertise on the Internet.

b6
b7C

[REDACTED] is a former Church member who has been attacking the Church of Scientology for years. Most recently he has posted Church trade secrets and Church copyrighted material on the Internet. The Church has sued him on Federal District Court in San Jose.

b6
b7C

Since our background material re [REDACTED] is enclosed, I will limit my comments. [REDACTED] is a convicted felon who attempted to defend his fraud case by maintaining "The Church made him do it." He was ultimately convicted of the underlying charges, plus obstruction of justice for stiffing in an alleged Church order to kill his psychiatrist and then commit suicide.

b6
b7C

The bureau was able to locate the caller who was paid by [REDACTED] to make this call.

b6
b7C

[REDACTED] was never a Scientologist, yet still maintains otherwise. He currently has a lawsuit in Los Angeles Superior Court against the Church, but more significantly is at war with [REDACTED] on the Internet with [REDACTED] stating [REDACTED] lies about his alleged Scientology background. This is unique on the Internet since Church critics have never attacked each other. This is the basis for our suspecting [REDACTED] together with the fact that this is the type of thing [REDACTED] could do.

b6
b7C

Finally, we are aware that if contacted by law enforcement both [REDACTED] will claim harassment by the Church and attempt to use our involvement to their advantage in the pending cases.

b6
b7C

May 20, 1996
Page 2

Knowing this, because of the nature of the threats and the innocent people at risk because of them, we have no choice but to bring this to your attention.

We appreciate your immediate assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,



b6
b7c

EA:jjr

Enc.

20 May 1996

DOCUMENTS CONCERNING [REDACTED]

1. Copy of the May 17, 1996 anonymous e-mail sent to the webmaster of the Scientology internet site saying that [REDACTED] was planning an attack on the Scientology building.

2. Postings on alt.religion.scientology newsgroup showing the current feud between [REDACTED]

b6
b7c

3. Portion of July 1990 sentencing memorandum of Judge Lowell D. Jensen in USA v. [REDACTED]

4. Documentation of the fact that [REDACTED] is computer literate.

5. Copies of recent postings by [REDACTED] re: [REDACTED]

ooOOoo

From netcom.com!ixnews1.ix.netcom.com!decwrl!genmagic!sgigate.sgi.com!news.msfc.
Path: netcom.com!ixnews1.ix.netcom.com!decwrl!genmagic!sgigate.sgi.com!news.msfc
From: inForm@primenet.com (Rev. Dennis L Erlich)
Newsgroups: alt.religion.scientology
Subject: Re: Lonesome Squirrel (was, R.U. Fishman's 'Flack', SteveO?)
Date: 18 May 1996 08:39:02 -0700
Organization: inFormer Ministry
Lines: 25
Sender: root@primenet.com
Message-ID: <319dec6b.3993431@news.primenet.com>
References: <4nipgu\$j2@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com>
X-Posted-By: ip068.lax.primenet.com
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent .99e/16.227

Steve Fishman <@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

> I will not use a.r.s. as a forum to litigate my lawsuit.

You were the one who made the Loathsome Rodent's Tail available and used it to pump the newsgroup up, Steve. You are the one who has accused Lyman Spurlock of rape. You are the one who made the credibility of your squirrely writing so serious. When you accuse a man of rape, Fishman, you better f***ing have the facts to back it up. A little late now to back away from it now.

>If the most we can argue about is Bitty's accent and Lyman's belly, I
>couldn't have done that bad a job.

Get real, Fishman. The bullsh*t in there has not even begun to be taken apart.

Tell us about all the courses you took and the auditing you got while you were an Illegal PC undergoing therapy from a shrink.

This I gotta hear.

Rev. Dennis L Erlich * * the inFormer * *
<dennis.l.erlich@support.com>
<inForm@primenet.com>

2

From netcom.com!ixnews1.ix.netcom.com!decwrl!spool.mu.edu!usenet.eel.ufl.edu!bof
Path: netcom.com!ixnews1.ix.netcom.com!decwrl!spool.mu.edu!usenet.eel.ufl.edu!bof
From: inForm@primenet.com (Rev. Dennis L Erlich)
Newsgroups: alt.religion.scientology
Subject: Re: "The Steve Fishman Show! Starring ..."
Date: 18 May 1996 08:39:03 -0700
Organization: inFormer Ministry
Lines: 10
Sender: root@primenet.com
Message-ID: <319dec4e.3964925@news.primenet.com>
References: <4niqia\$j2@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com>
X-Posted-By: ip068.lax.primenet.com
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent .99e/16.227

Steve Fishman < @ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>If they replaced Miscavige with Koos, they would be getting
>someone with the highest level of compassion and integrity.

That rips it!

Rev. Dennis L Erlich * * the inFormer * *
<dennis.l.erlich@support.com>
<inForm@primenet.com>

From netcom.com!ixnews1.ix.netcom.com!decwrl!genmagic!sgigate.sgi.com!news.msfc.
Path: netcom.com!ixnews1.ix.netcom.com!decwrl!genmagic!sgigate.sgi.com!news.msfc
From: inForm@primenet.com (Rev. Dennis L Erlich)
Newsgroups: alt.religion.scientology
Subject: Re: Lonesome Squirrel (was, R.U. Fishman's 'Flack', SteveO?)
Date: 18 May 1996 08:39:03 -0700
Organization: inFormer Ministry
Lines: 18
Sender: root@primenet.com
Message-ID: <319dec5d.3980304@news.primenet.com>
References: <319cd299.3175543@news.primenet.com> <4nje9e\$rao@newsbf02.news.aol.c
X-Posted-By: ip068.lax.primenet.com
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent .99e/16.227

sose278720@aol.com (S0se278720) wrote:

>Why'ncha wait till you have more info on that "if" before you get your
>knickers in such a twist?

Get a grip, Steve-O! I've been watching Steve and following his little saga since 1991. You insult my observational skills when you accuse me of jumping to conclusions.

>Hmm... I just logged on to post this, and saw Fishman's post, in which he
>seems to imply that LS is intended to be basically factual. I think I'm
>starting to lean towards Dennis' option#3...

And that was?

Rev. Dennis L Erlich * * the inFormer * *
<dennis.l.erlich@support.com>
<inForm@primenet.com>

From netcom.com!ixnews1.ix.netcom.com!decwrl!genmagic!sgigate.sgi.com!news.msfc.
Path: netcom.com!ixnews1.ix.netcom.com!decwrl!genmagic!sgigate.sgi.com!news.msfc
From: inForm@primenet.com (Rev. Dennis L Erlich)
Newsgroups: alt.religion.scientology
Subject: Re: Lonesome Squirrel (was, R.U. Fishman's 'Flack', SteveO?)
Date: 18 May 1996 08:39:04 -0700
Organization: inFormer Ministry
Lines: 10
Sender: root@primenet.com
Message-ID: <319ded1b.4170235@news.primenet.com>
References: <4njadv\$1m8@light.lightlink.com> <4njct0\$goo@newsbf02.news.aol.com>
X-Posted-By: ip068.lax.primenet.com
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent .99e/16.227

sose278720@aol.com (S0se278720) wrote:

>Well, better
>Kos than the OSA, I guess (unless, of course, they're one & the same...)

I've never assumed that wasn't a possibility.

Rev. Dennis L Erlich * * the inFormer * *
<dennis.l.erlich@support.com>
<inForm@primenet.com>

1 FOR CONCURRENT SENTENCES. I THINK THAT THE PURPOSE WE WERE
2 TRYING TO ACCOMPLISH WAS TO AVOID ADDITIONAL JAIL TIME, BUT I
3 THINK IT'S IN [REDACTED] BEST INTERESTS AND IN SOCIETY'S BEST
4 INTERESTS.

b6
b7c

5 IT MAY BE AT THIS PRESENT TIME THAT HE IS A DANGER TO
6 HIMSELF AND A DANGER TO SOCIETY GIVEN WHAT HE BELIEVES, AND IN
7 THAT REGARD I CANNOT REALLY FIND FAULT WITH THE PRE-SENTENCE
8 INVESTIGATION REPORT. IN FACT, IT HAPPENS TO BE AN EXCELLENT
9 REPORT. I DO, HOWEVER, HAVE SOME QUESTION AS TO THE REPORT'S
10 CONCLUSION THAT THERE IS A PREDISPOSITION TO CRIMINAL CONDUCT
11 BECAUSE I BELIEVE THAT ANYTHING THAT OCCURRED, AS I'VE
12 INDICATED, OCCURRED SO FAR BACK IN HIS EARLY YEARS THAT THEY'RE
13 NOT REALLY A SIGNIFICANT INDICIA OF WHAT HE WOULD DO ONCE HE IS
14 CURED.

15 AND I DON'T BELIEVE [REDACTED] WILL BE FULLY CURED IN
16 TWO YEARS OR IN THREE YEARS OR IN FIVE YEARS, BUT I WOULD SUBMIT
17 THAT AFTER TWO YEARS IN A PLACE LIKE BUTNER -- AND I'VE HAD
18 OTHER CLIENTS THERE -- WHERE HE IS UNABLE TO CONTACT THESE
19 PEOPLE, UNABLE TO READ THIS STUFF, UNABLE TO EXTERIORIZE, BUT
20 MORE IMPORTANTLY ABLE TO TALK TO PEOPLE AND GET SOME INTENSIVE
21 HELP UNDER CONTROLLED CIRCUMSTANCES, THAT [REDACTED] WILL COME
22 OUT OF THIS NOT BEING A DANGER TO HIMSELF OR A DANGER TO THE
23 PUBLIC. AND THAT'S WHY I WOULD STRONGLY RECOMMEND THE COURT
24 ADOPT THAT SENTENCING SCHEME.

b6
b7c

25 [REDACTED]: TWO THINGS, YOUR HONOR. FIRST OF ALL, I

b6
b7c

[REDACTED] USDC COURT REPORTER

1 DON'T THINK IT NECESSARY TO EXPLAIN AT LENGTH THE GOVERNMENT'S
2 VIEW AS TO THE SERIOUSNESS OF THE BEHAVIOR THAT'S BEEN INVOLVED
3 IN THIS CASE. THIS COURT INDICATED WHEN IT SENTENCED [REDACTED]

4 [REDACTED] THAT THE CRIMES INVOLVED IN THIS INDICTMENT, WHICH
5 CLEARLY THE DEFENDANT [REDACTED] IS THE INSTIGATOR OF, SMACKED AT
6 THE VERY SYSTEM OF JUSTICE THAT WE HAVE; THAT IF PEOPLE CANNOT
7 BELIEVE THAT THE FILING OF CLAIMS AND LAWSUITS IN OUR COUNTRY
8 ARE PROTECTED, THEN WE HAVE A VERY SIGNIFICANT DISRUPTION OF THE
9 WHOLE PROCESS OF LITIGATION IN OUR COUNTRY AND THE RELIANCE THAT
10 OUR COUNTRY PLACES ON THE RULE OF LAW AND THE OPERATION OF
11 PROCEDURES IN CONFIDENCE AND IN CERTAINTY.

12 THIS CASE ALSO INVOLVES NOT ONLY [REDACTED]
13 [REDACTED] WITH [REDACTED] AS THE CLEAR LEADER, BUT ALSO A NUMBER
14 OF OTHER PEOPLE WHO WERE INDUCED FOR MINOR FINANCIAL REASONS,
15 CLEARLY PEOPLE THAT WERE IN TERRIBLY DESPERATE FINANCIAL
16 STRAITS, YOUNG WOMEN AND YOUNG MEN THAT WERE INDUCED TO SIGN
17 FORMS SUBMITTED BY THE DEFENDANT FOR SMALL AMOUNTS OF MONEY.

18 HE ORGANIZED AN OPERATION THAT WAS QUITE SOPHIS-
19 TICATED. AND I COULD REPRESENT TO THE COURT AS AN OFFICER OF
20 THE COURT THAT I HAVE TALKED TO THOSE PARTICULAR PEOPLE, AND THE
21 EVIDENCE IS CLEAR THAT HE WAS ACTING PURPOSEFULLY AND KNOWINGLY
22 WHEN HE INDUCED THOSE INDIVIDUALS TO SIGN UP, SIGN THE
23 DOCUMENTS, SUBMIT THEM. HE WAS PAYING THEM MONEY FOR THEIR
24 INVOLVEMENT IN THE CRIMINAL OPERATION THAT EXISTS HERE. AND
25 CURIOUSLY ENOUGH, NONE OF THOSE PEOPLE WERE MEMBERS OF THE

1 ORGANIZATION KNOWN AS SCIENTOLOGY. THEY WERE ALL PEOPLE WHO HE
2 HAPPENED TO HAVE SEX WITH OR HE HAPPENED TO FIND IN A CONDITION
3 PERHAPS MORE SORDID THAN HIS OWN AND INDUCED THEM TO, BECAUSE OF
4 DESPERATION, TO TAKE THE SMALL AMOUNTS OF MONEY HE PAID THEM FOR
5 THE OPERATION. SO WE CLEARLY HAVE A SERIOUS, SERIOUS CRIME,
6 PERHAPS THE MOST SERIOUS FRAUDULENT BEHAVIOR WHEN IT INVOLVES
7 THE ACTUAL COURTS OF OUR COUNTRY. AND THE MAN WHO ORGANIZED A
8 NUMBER OF PEOPLE THAT WERE -- AT LEAST SEVEN OR EIGHT PEOPLE WHO
9 WERE SOLICITED BY HIM TO DO FRAUDULENT BEHAVIOR, SIGNING
10 DOCUMENTS FALSELY, FRAUDULENTLY BECAUSE OF HIS REPRESENTATION HE
11 WOULD PAY THEM \$30, \$50 AT A SIGNATURE.

12 WE HAVE A LARGE NUMBER OF VICTIMS IN THIS CASE: THE
13 CORPORATIONS OF THESE VARIOUS LAWSUITS. THERE ARE OVER 50 OF
14 THEM. THEY ARE FOUND ALL OVER THE COUNTRY. DISTRICT JUDGES
15 THROUGHOUT THE COUNTRY WERE IN A SENSE INDUCED TO PART FUNDS
16 BECAUSE OF THE FRAUDULENT BEHAVIOR.

17 NOW, WE ALSO HAVE AN INDIVIDUAL, FRANKLY, WHO TODAY
18 MINIMIZES HIS ADULT RECORD, BUT THE FACT REMAINS IS THAT AS A
19 TEENAGER ON A NUMBER OF OCCASIONS EVEN TO THE AGES OF LATE TEENS
20 WAS INVOLVED IN FRAUDULENT BEHAVIOR. IT STARTED OUT WITH THE
21 LUNCH PROGRAM SCAM, THAT WENT ON TO ARSON. IT WENT ON TO A
22 SLIP-AND-FALL ACCIDENT THAT WAS FRAUDULENTLY REPRESENTED. EACH
23 TIME MONEY WAS POCKETED. EACH TIME THE MONEY WAS USED IN THOSE
24 CASES TO BUY A CAR. THESE DIDN'T GO TO [REDACTED] THEY WENT TO
25 HIM. AND NOW WE HAVE HIM AGAIN BEFORE THIS COURT INVOLVED IN

1 ADULT BEHAVIOR STARTING IN 1983. HE'S BLAMED SCIENTOLOGY.

2 IT APPEARS FROM WHAT HE SAID HE'S AN ADHERENT, A
3 FERVENT ADHERENT, TO SCIENTOLOGY. AT THE PRESENT TIME HE
4 BELIEVES IN A NUMBER OF THE TEACHINGS OF IT. HE ARTICULATES THE
5 JARGON OF THE ORGANIZATION. HOWEVER, THE FACT REMAINS THAT
6 THERE'S NO DOUBT ABOUT IT THAT EVEN THE DOCTORS THAT HAVE
7 TESTIFIED FOR THE DEFENDANT, WHEN THEY WERE ASKED, INDICATED
8 THAT THERE WAS AMBITION ON HIS PART TO MOVE UP THE ORGANIZATION.
9 HE HAD FOUND A PLACE. HE WANTED TO BECOME A HIGH RANKING
10 OFFICER. AND I SUBMIT TO THE COURT THAT HE SAW THAT FINANCIAL
11 SUCCESS IN THIS FRAUDULENT SCHEME WAS A DEVICE FOR HIM TO
12 ADVANCE IN AN ORGANIZATION THAT HE FOUND COMFORT AND SATIS-
13 FACTION IN AND APPARENTLY WAS TREATED WITH THAT COMFORT AND
14 SATISFACTION BY OTHER MEMBERS.

15 IT'S ALSO CLEAR THAT AT THE TIME OF THE ARREST THERE
16 WAS MONEY IN BANK ACCOUNTS THAT HE ALONE HAD ACCESS TO. WE'RE
17 NOT TALKING ABOUT ACCOUNTS THAT WERE UNDER THE CONTROL OF MEM-
18 BERS OR PEOPLE AFFILIATED WITH THE SCIENTOLOGY ORGANIZATION.

19 [REDACTED] ALONE OR [REDACTED] WERE THE ONLY PEOPLE THAT HAD
20 ACCESS TO THOSE VARIOUS ACCOUNTS. AND HE COULD WITHDRAW THE
21 MONEY AT WILL, AND THAT'S WHAT HE DID, WITHDREW IT AT WILL AS HE
22 SAW FIT.

23 IT APPEARS FROM THE REVIEW OF THE CHECKS INVOLVED, AND
24 I HAVE THE DOCUMENTATION IF THE COURT WANTS TO REVIEW IT, THAT
25 APPROXIMATELY \$110,000 OF THE ROUGHLY CLOSE TO A MILLION DOLLARS

b6
b7c

b6
b7c

[REDACTED] USDC COURT REPORTER

1 OBTAINED WENT TO SCIENTOLOGY. IT APPEARS ALSO THAT THERE WERE
2 REFUNDS BY SCIENTOLOGY TO THE DEFENDANT OF APPROXIMATELY
3 \$70,000. SO IT APPEARED JUST LOOKING AT THE REVIEW OF THE
4 STATISTICS OF THE FIGURES THAT THE CHURCH GOT \$40,000 OUT OF THE
5 MONEY THAT HE SPENT IN THIS PARTICULAR SCHEME. THE REST OF THE
6 MONEY WENT TO VARIOUS OPERATIONS AND SATISFACTIONS OF PEOPLE AND
7 THE DEFENDANT, PEOPLE CLOSE TO THE DEFENDANT AND THE DEFENDANT
8 HIMSELF. WE'RE NOT JUST TALKING ABOUT THE CADILLAC WHICH THE
9 COURT HAS HEARD ABOUT. HE BOUGHT A 1986 CADILLAC SEVILLE ALSO
10 WHICH HE GAVE TO [REDACTED] HE GAVE [REDACTED] IN ONE INSTANCE
11 OVER \$40,000 OUT OF THESE FUNDS. HE GAVE [REDACTED] LARGE AMOUNTS
12 OF MONEY. HE PAID THE LEGAL FEES FOR HIS DIVORCE, OVER \$40,000.
13 HE PAID FOR THE MORTGAGE ON HIS CONDOMINIUM. HE TOOK A NUMBER
14 OF TRIPS ALL OVER THE WORLD. THOSE WERE PAID FOR OUT OF THESE
15 FUNDS. HE BOUGHT A BED FOR OVER \$9,000 FOR HIS CONDOMINIUM. HE
16 HAD AN INTERIOR DECORATOR DECORATE HIS APARTMENT. HE PAID FOR A
17 HOME IN NORTH CAROLINA. HE PURCHASED ITEMS OF APPAREL FOR
18 HIMSELF. HE COLLECTED A LIBRARY. HE PAID OFF VISA CARDS AND
19 MASTERCARDS IN LARGE AMOUNTS OF MONEY. HE HAD AN AMERICAN
20 EXPRESS CARD. HE BOUGHT A TIME SHARE IN UPSTATE FLORIDA. HE
21 BECAME A MEMBER OF A COUNTRY CLUB. THESE ARE NOT ITEMS FOR
22 SCIENTOLOGY. THESE WERE TRAPPINGS OF SUCCESS WHICH [REDACTED]
23 SOUGHT TO PASS AROUND AS HE WENT ABOUT ON HIS FRAUDULENT SCHEME.
24 AND IF IT MADE HIM IMPORTANT IN SCIENTOLOGY, SO BE IT. BUT THIS
25 COURT CANNOT CLOSE ITS EYES TO WHERE THE MONEY WENT. I THINK

b6
b7c

b6
b7c

b6
b7c

[REDACTED]

USDC COURT REPORTER

1 ONE OF THE GREAT GAGS OF THIS CASE HAS BEEN THAT THE MONEY ALL
2 WENT TO SCIENTOLOGY WITH NONE TO [REDACTED] AND THAT'S
3 WHERE IT STOPPED.

4 NOW, HE SAYS HE WANTS TO IMPROVE, BUT OF COURSE THE
5 COURT WILL REMEMBER WHEN THE OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE CLAIM AROSE
6 HE WAS BLAMING SCIENTOLOGY THERE FOR THIS WHOLE OPERATION, THESE
7 THREATS ON HIS LIFE. AND THIS CAME AT A TIME WHEN HE WAS
8 ATTEMPTING TO PROVE TO THE GOVERNMENT THAT SCIENTOLOGY WAS
9 INVOLVED IN THIS THING, AND THEREFORE HE SUBMITTED A PROFFER
10 WHICH WOULD IDENTIFY THE ROLE OF SCIENTOLOGY IN THIS WHOLE
11 CRIMINAL OPERATION. AND NONE OF THE INFORMATION THAT HE
12 SUPPLIED WAS IN ANY WAY CORROBORATIVE AND YET THE FACT REMAINS
13 THAT HE THEN HAD THIS THREAT ON HIS LIFE AND THE THREAT TO KILL
14 HIS ATTORNEY ALL INDUCED BY SCIENTOLOGY, WHICH WAS ALL CREATED
15 BY HIM, ALL CREATED BY HIS MIND ACTING PURPOSEFULLY AND
16 INTENTIONALLY.

17 JUST RECENTLY, AS [REDACTED] INDICATED, HE WENT TO THE
18 FBI AND MET WITH THE FBI IN SOUTH FLORIDA AND TOLD THE FBI,
19 [REDACTED] DID, THAT HE WAS AWARE OF THREE MURDERS COMMITTED BY THE
20 CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY IN NORTH CAROLINA. AND HE TOLD THEM ABOUT
21 THE FACT THAT THE THREE PEOPLE ALLEGEDLY KILLED WERE JAMES GORE,
22 GEORGE PERKINS AND [REDACTED] AND HE SAID THEY WERE ALL
23 KILLED BECAUSE SCIENTOLOGY WANTED ACCESS TO THEIR PROPERTY, AND
24 THAT THEREFORE THEY WERE GIVEN CYANIDE AND SCIENTOLOGY IS
25 RESPONSIBLE.

1 WELL, THE FBI CHECKED THAT OUT, AND I CAN REPRESENT TO
2 THE COURT THAT JAMES GORE DIED IN 1986 OF A HEART ATTACK
3 MEDICALLY EVALUATED BY THE CORNERS OFFICE IN NORTH CAROLINA.
4 GEORGE PERKINS DIED OF CANCER. HE HAD IT FOR OVER A YEAR. IT
5 WAS DIAGNOSED BY DOCTORS FOR A YEAR'S TIME BEFORE HE DIED. AND
6 [REDACTED] IS ALIVE AND WELL IN NORTH CAROLINA AND IS NOT
7 DEAD AT ALL. AND YET THIS IS WHAT HE IS TELLING TO THE POLICE
8 EVEN NOW A WEEK BEFORE HIS SENTENCING.

9 IT'S CURIOUS HE SUDDENLY GOES TO THE FBI BEFORE HIS
10 SENTENCING WITH MORE INFORMATION OF CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR THAT'S
11 FALSE. I THINK WE HAVE TO OPEN OUR EYES TO THIS INDIVIDUAL,
12 REALIZE THAT HE HAS SERIOUS PROBLEMS, THAT HE IS A CON ARTIST
13 AND A MAN WHO COMMITS CRIMES, AND THAT HE HAS TO BE PUNISHED FOR
14 IT.

15 NOW, [REDACTED] BOTH SAID HE SHOULD DO
16 TWO YEARS' INCARCERATION. WELL, IT'S THE GOVERNMENT POSITION HE
17 DESERVES A SENTENCE OF FIVE YEARS. THAT IF HE DOES FIVE YEARS,
18 HE WILL BE RELEASED BY ALL PAROLE STANDARDS AFTER DOING A
19 MINIMUM OF TWO THIRDS AND -- A MINIMUM OF ONE THIRD AND A
20 MAXIMUM OF TWO-THIRDS.

21 THE COURT: WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IS THE SENTENCING
22 THAT'S BEING IMPOSED ON THIS IS BASED UPON THE OLD LAW, AND HE'D
23 BE ELIGIBLE FOR PAROLE, SO THAT THE SENTENCE OF FIVE YEARS MEANS
24 THAT HE IS ELIGIBLE FOR PAROLE IN 20 MONTHS.

25 [REDACTED] THAT IS RIGHT.

b6
b7c

[REDACTED], USDC COURT REPORTER

1 MANY TIMES IT ISN'T AS CLEAR AS IT IS NOW IS THAT THE BEST
2 PROSPECTS FOR [REDACTED] HAVE BEEN DESCRIBED BY THE WITNESSES.
3 THAT HE'S IN A PERIOD OF ISOLATION WHERE HE IS HELD IN A
4 POSITION WHERE HE IS GOING TO BE AVAILABLE FOR TREATMENT IN A
5 SITUATION WHERE THERE IS A SPECIFIC THERAPY PROVIDED FOR HIM;
6 WHETHER OR NOT THAT'S GOING TO BE SUCCESSFUL, I DON'T KNOW. BUT
7 THE ONLY WAY IT'S GOING TO BE SUCCESSFUL IS IN A CUSTODIAL
8 SETTING. SO REHABILITATION IS APPROPRIATE THAT HE'S IN CUSTODY
9 FOR THAT.

10 AS FAR AS THE MONIES THAT ARE INVOLVED, THERE HAS BEEN
11 SUGGESTION THAT HE BE REQUIRED TO MAKE RESTITUTION. IT'S PRETTY
12 CLEAR TO ME THAT HE IS AT THIS STAGE NOT IN A POSITION TO DO
13 THAT. THAT'S UNFORTUNATELY TRUE FOR PEOPLE WHO TAKE GREAT
14 AMOUNTS OF MONEY THAT DISAPPEAR. THERE IS MONEY, HOWEVER, THAT
15 IS AVAILABLE AND I'M GOING TO IMPOSE A FINE ON HIM BUT TAKE INTO
16 CONSIDERATION WHAT HE HAS FORFEITED AS BEING IN RECOMPENSE FOR
17 THAT FINE, SO THERE WILL BE BY WAY OF A FINE WHEN HE GETS OUT.
18 BECAUSE I'M GOING TO IMPOSE A SENTENCE THAT HE WILL HAVE SOME
19 CONDITIONAL TIME AFTER HE'S RELEASED FROM PRISON WHERE HE'S
20 GOING TO HAVE TO CONFORM TO THE CONDITIONS THAT I IMPOSE, AND
21 THAT WILL GIVE US SOME OPPORTUNITY TO SEE AS HE COMES BACK TO
22 SOCIETY THAT IT'S DONE IN A FASHION WE'LL SEE WHETHER OR NOT
23 THERE'S ANY FURTHER KIND OF MENTAL HEALTH PROBLEMS THAT OUGHT TO
24 BE ADDRESSED.

25 THE COURT IS GOING TO IMPOSE SENTENCE. IT IS ADJUDGED

b6
b7c

1 THAT THE DEFENDANT IS HEREBY COMMITTED TO THE CUSTODY OF THE
2 ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES, OR ITS AUTHORIZED
3 REPRESENTATIVE, FOR IMPRISONMENT FOR A TERM OF FIVE YEARS ON
4 COUNT 8 OF THE INDICTMENT, AND THAT THE DEFENDANT SHALL BECOME
5 ELIGIBLE FOR PAROLE UNDER 18 UNITED STATES CODE SECTION 4205 (A)
6 AFTER SERVING ONE THIRD OF SUCH TERM.

7 THE DEFENDANT IS HEREBY COMMITTED TO THE CUSTODY OF
8 THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES, OR ITS AUTHORIZED
9 REPRESENTATIVE, FOR IMPRISONMENT FOR A TERM OF FIVE YEARS ON
10 COUNT 11 OF THE INDICTMENT, AND THAT THE DEFENDANT SHALL BECOME
11 ELIGIBLE FOR PAROLE UNDER 18 UNITED STATES CODE SECTION 4205 (A)
12 UPON SERVING ONE THIRD OF SUCH TERM, AND IT'S ORDERED THAT THE
13 SENTENCE ON COUNT 11 SHALL RUN CONCURRENT WITH COUNT 8.

14 ON THE INDICTMENT CHARGING OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE THE
15 DEFENDANT IS SENTENCED PURSUANT TO THE SENTENCING FORMAT OF
16 1984. IT'S THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT THAT THE DEFENDANT IS
17 HEREBY COMMITTED TO THE CUSTODY OF THE BUREAU OF PRISONS TO BE
18 IMPRISONED FOR A TERM OF SIX MONTHS. UPON RELEASE FROM THE
19 IMPRISONMENT -- AND THE IMPRISONMENT IN THIS CASE WILL BE
20 CONCURRENT WITH THE TIME IMPOSED UNDER INDICTMENT 88 0166 -- HE
21 WILL SERVE HIS TIME ON THE OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE COUNT
22 CONCURRENTLY. BUT HE WILL BE RELEASED FROM IMPRISONMENT AFTER
23 THE TOTAL TIME SERVED, AND UPON HIS RELEASE FROM PRISON THE
24 DEFENDANT SHALL BE PLACED ON SUPERVISED RELEASE FOR A TERM OF
25 TWO YEARS. AND WITHIN 72 HOURS OF RELEASE FROM CUSTODY THE

1 DEFENDANT SHALL REPORT IN PERSON TO THE PROBATION OFFICE IN THE
2 DISTRICT.

3 DEFENDANT IS, IN ADDITION, SENTENCED TO A FINE OF
4 \$400,000. ALL THE FUNDS THAT HAVE PREVIOUSLY BEEN FORFEITED
5 WILL BE CREDITED AGAINST THAT FINE. AND DURING HIS PERIOD OF
6 SUPERVISED RELEASE HE WILL PAY ANY OTHER AMOUNTS OF FINE THAT
7 REMAIN UNPAID AT SUCH TIMES AND AMOUNTS AS DIRECTED BY THE
8 PROBATION OFFICE. IN ADDITION, THE CONDITIONS OF HIS RELEASE ON
9 SUPERVISED RELEASE WILL INCLUDE THAT HE OBEY ALL STATE AND LOCAL
10 LAWS AND THAT HE OBEY ALL THE CONDITIONS IMPOSED BY SENTENCING
11 REGULATIONS FOR SUPERVISED RELEASE.

12 IN ADDITION TO THAT, HE'LL PARTICIPATE IN SUCH MENTAL
13 HEALTH PROGRAMS AS DIRECTED BY THE PROBATION OFFICE. HE'LL ALSO
14 REPORT TO THE PROBATION OFFICE AND PROVIDE THEM WITH ANY
15 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS THEY REQUIRE, AND HE WILL NOT INVEST IN
16 SECURITIES OR FINANCIAL TRANSACTIONS WITHOUT THE APPROVAL OF THE
17 PROBATION DEPARTMENT.

18 IT'S ALSO ORDERED THAT THE DEFENDANT IS TO MAKE A
19 PAYMENT OF \$150 AS ASSESSMENT BASED UPON THE THREE FELONY
20 CONVICTIONS JUST SENTENCED, AND THAT HE'S TO MAKE THAT PAYMENT
21 IMMEDIATELY. b6
b7c

22 AS FAR AS SURRENDER IS CONCERNED, I KNOW
23 INDICATED THAT HE HAS A REQUEST THAT HE WOULD BE NOT REQUIRED TO
24 SURRENDER BEFORE THE END OF THIS MONTH, AND THE COURT WILL HONOR
25 THAT. I BELIEVE THAT HE CAN SELF-SURRENDER, AND I THINK UNDER

b6
b7c

1 THE CIRCUMSTANCES PRESENTED TO THE COURT I'LL PERMIT HIM TO
2 SELF-SURRENDER. LET'S FIGURE OUT THE TIME FOR THAT. I THINK
3 THAT WE CAN WORK IT OUT WITH THE BUREAU OF PRISONS AND THE
4 MARSHAL. THE PLACE OF SURRENDER SHOULD BE ON THE EAST COAST,
5 AND WE WILL SEE WHETHER OR NOT IT CAN BE ARRANGED.

6 [REDACTED] IS YOUR HONOR GOING TO SPECIFICALLY
7 RECOMMEND ON BUTNER?

8 THE COURT: I WILL MAKE THAT RECOMMENDATION. AS I
9 SAY, I'LL ALSO INCLUDE, IF THAT'S ACCEPTED BY THE BUREAU OF
10 PRISONS, THAT ALL THE PAPERS THAT ARE SUBMITTED WILL INCLUDE THE
11 TRANSCRIPT OF HIS ELOCUTION HERE TODAY. IN TERMS OF THE TIME
12 FOR SELF-SURRENDER I THINK WE COULD MAKE THAT 30 DAYS FROM NOW.

13 b6
b7C

[REDACTED] THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

14 [REDACTED]: IS THE DEFENDANT GOING TO REMAIN IN THE
15 SAME CUSTODIAL STATUS BETWEEN NOW --

16 THE COURT: BETWEEN NOW AND HIS SELF-SURRENDER. AND
17 THAT'S UNDERSTOOD THEN? YOU ACCEPT THAT, COUNSEL?

18 [REDACTED] YES.

19 b6
b7C

THE COURT: DO YOU ACCEPT THAT, [REDACTED]

20 [REDACTED]: YES, YOUR HONOR.

21 THE COURT: THAT WILL BE THE SENTENCE OF THE COURT.

22 (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED)

23
24
25 b6
b7C

Author Profile: xenu@ix.netcom.com(STEVEN FISHMAN)

- 603 articles posted between 1995/12/25 and 1996/05/14.
- 81% followups.

• Number of articles posted to individual newsgroups (slightly skewed by cross-postings):

- 580 alt.religion.scientology
- 6 alt.atheism
- 5 us.legal
- 3 misc.legal
- 3 alt.clearing.technology
- 2 comp.org.eff.talk
- 1 alt.religion.unification
- 1 alt.psychology
- 1 alt.privacy.anon-server
- 1 alt.atheism.satire



Home



Power
Search!



Contacts



Help

4

From netcom.com!ix.netcom.com!netnews Tue Mar 19 08:13:04 1996
Xref: netcom.com alt.religion.scientology:157421
Path: netcom.com!ix.netcom.com!netnews
From: //OT @ix.netcom.com(STEVEN FISHMAN)
Newsgroups: alt.religion.scientology
Subject: Re: Does Fair Game qualify as a hate crime?
Date: 18 Mar 1996 23:22:56 GMT
Organization: Netcom
Lines: 113
Message-ID: <4ikr8g\$blu@ixnews3.ix.netcom.com>
References: <Pine.A32.3.91.960316105158.47298D-100000@freenet4.freenet.ufl.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: ft1-fl2-17.ix.netcom.com
X-NETCOM-Date: Mon Mar 18 3:22:56 PM PST 1996

In <4ifih3\$d7b@crl11.crl.com> milne@crl.com (Andrew Milne) writes:

>
>The Comedian (afn11152@afn.org) wrote:
>: I'm wondering about this...it seems like it might qualify if it's >:
>: part of church policy to harass and "ruin...utterly."
>: If I'm gay or black or an "abortionist" and I'm attacked for that
>: reason, it's usually prosecuted as a hate crime, so why not if your
>: a critic?
>
>You have a misunderstanding on what "fair game" was. It is a term >:
>:which is often intentionally misinterpreted and used by apostate
>:Scientologists and other critics to unfairly tarnish the Church.
>

What Fair Game WAS? Don't commit semantic homicide on a.r.s. You know damn well Fair Game IS right here on this newsgroup against myself and other critics. Don't you DARE say it's cancelled or not being used anymore. You are a damn liar, Milne.

>"Fair Game" was cancelled in 1968, more than 25 years ago, because it
>was susceptible to misinterpretation and misuse and had been so
>misinterpreted by apostates. "Fair game" meant that those expelled
>from the Church could no longer take advantage of the internal
>ecclesiastical support and justice procedures churches of Scientology
>provide to resolve disputes and upsets among parishioners. They would
>have to make their own way, unaided, with the justice system as their
>only means of recourse.

I told you not to keep lying, Milne. Now you have really pissed me off.

>In a March 1976 affidavit, L. Ron Hubbard explained that the policy
>had never "authorize[d] illegal or harassment type acts against
>anyone" and that "As soon as it became apparent to me that the concept
>of 'Fair Game' as described above was being-misinterpreted by the
>uninformed, to mean the granting of a license to Scientologists for
>acts in violation the law and/or other standards of decency, these
>policies were cancelled."

So what happened to Paulette Cooper was a figment of everyone's imagination, is that what you are saying, Milne?

And what about when your cult arranged to have Lisa Van Schaick raped in order to create a diversion and "reclaim" Hubbard's overts and withholds which her sister Lavenda Van Schaick took out of the archives

at Flag at the time that Gerry Armstrong was gathering data for Omar Garrison to write a book about Hubbard (which he never did because when he realized how flagrantly Hubbard was lying about his life and career (much of these lies are on the new Hubbard False Data Web Page which Milne announced earlier today), it turned his stomach).

I wish I could find Lavenda Van Schaick and apologize to her for ruining her life; for telling Fred Hare in the Guardian's Office where Hubbard's O/W's were after befriending her under false pretenses; to letting OSA know in 1985 that Lavenda was planning to finance her black 1979 Cadillac Eldorado in order to pay for a lawyer to renew her lawsuit against the Church --- which resulted in her car being set on fire and burned up (when I discovered her insurance had lapsed).

There are a lot of people I would love to say I'm sorry to for all the shitty things I did to them in the name of "Planetary Clearing" and "Total Freedom."

>The term "fair game" does not appear in the scriptures of Scientology
>and has not existed since 1968. In fact, its *only* use since then is
>not by the Church at all, but by a handful of anti-Scientology
>apostates and their attorneys who have exploited this term and its
>inflammatory connotations in efforts to generate anti-Scientology
>prejudice in the media or courtroom. Exactly how they do this has
>been laid out by a former Church member who used this tactic herself
>when litigating against the Church and observed it used by others.
>

I used it in the Fishman / Geertz case because it was true then and it is true now. Fair Game is all over your lies and dead agenting. It hit home when "Eddie" formatted my hard drive, and when my two daughters found a dead rabbit on our doorstep while I was living in the halfway house. Fair Game is the two dead rats on Margaret Singer's doorstep, and Dr. Geertz's dead chickens and dead dog Nikki.

>The truth is that Church management never has and never would tolerate
>illegal or unethical actions to be committed in the Church's name.

Again, I cite Paulette Cooper. My own case. Dennis' TRO at the protest last week. I think I made my point. Milne, you are a hypocrite and a liar beyond belief.

>The scriptures of Scientology are replete with admonitions to its
>adherents to build their lives on foundations of honesty and
>integrity.

The scriptures are full of //OT _____, the Between Lives Area, the Implant Station, Marcab, Helatrobis, and other lies too numerous to mention.

And do you know what all this in the above paragraph really is?

MENTAL FAIR GAME. Ways to fuck up your mind and keep the cash cow fed.

>The commission of dishonesties or harmful acts against another is the
>road to personal misery and destruction of positive interpersonal
>relationships.

From your mouth to God's ears. May you rot in Hell for your part in

From netcom.com!ix.netcom.com!howland.reston.ans.net!news-e2a.gnn.com!newstf01.n
Xref: netcom.com alt.religion.scientology:160457
Path: netcom.com!ix.netcom.com!howland.reston.ans.net!news-e2a.gnn.com!newstf01.
From: lavends@aol.com (LaVendS)
Newsgroups: alt.religion.scientology
Subject: WHY I'LL NEVER FORGIVE FISHMAN
Date: 27 Mar 1996 16:09:23 -0500
Organization: America Online, Inc. (1-800-827-6364)
Lines: 43
Sender: root@newsbf02.news.aol.com
Message-ID: <4jcaq3\$h13@newsbf02.news.aol.com>
Reply-To: lavends@aol.com (LaVendS)
NNTP-Posting-Host: newsbf02.mail.aol.com

I've been lurking around ARS for some time but now I want to post this.

Fishman may be some kind of hero on ARS, but he was the slime who ruined my life and any chance I had of suing Scientology.

I first met him at a protest in front of the Fort Harrison 15 years ago, when he was pretending to be a protester but he was a G.O. pig who wanted information out of me. I started seeing him never knowing who he was or what he was. My sister Lisa was raped by two sea org staffers right after she had her baby. She was raped in her apartment, in her own living room just as a distraction while Fishman went into her bedroom through a window and "rescued" LRH's O/W's for the Church. She will never forget about what happened to her. Do you know how sore and in pain she was right after she had a baby? What were the names of the Sea Org guys, Fishman? Why weren't you all tried for conspiracy to commit rape?

I heard Fishman was in jail, and was on parole or probation or whatever. If I knew about that, I would've gone to his parole hearing and told them to give him life and throw the key away. Now he's out and a big hero on ARS. Real nice. He's a pervert and a pig. You know what he did to me 4 years later? I was going to get a loan on my car to give to a lawyer to sue Scientology. I told him I was between jobs and did not pay the insurance on the Eldorado, so he had it burned up. I lost everything and collected nothing. I couldn't sue and he had his stat and I had no car, no money, I had zip. You think the slate is clean just because he's anti?

The last time he ever spoke to me, do you know what he said to me? He told me he wanted to sleep with my daughter Sabrina, who was only 15 at the time. That's how he broke it off. I guess he "handled" me, that was his way of offloading me after I had nothing else left.

If he publishes his book and there is anything about me or my daughter in it, he's getting sued, so when you e-mail him or talk to him since this is the newsgroup for people without a real life, you tell him I am going to sue him if he puts his book on the internet or the world web or tries to hawk it anywhere and I am mentioned in it. If he thinks he is going to make money off of my pain and hurt then he has a rude awakening. You can't just screw someone over and destroy their sister's life and take away whatever little dignity they had left and then just one day reform like the phony reformed convict he pretends to be and suddenly everything will be allright. It isn't allright, and never will be.

You never get the whole story on ARS, especially Fishman's brand of "truth."

LaVenda Van Schaick

P.S. ask Fishman if he still shaves his balls.

From netcom.com!ix.netcom.com!netnews Thu Mar 28 07:56:20 1996
Xref: netcom.com alt.religion.scientology:160555
Path: netcom.com!ix.netcom.com!netnews
From: xxxx@ix.netcom.com(STEVEN FISHMAN)
Newsgroups: alt.religion.scientology
Subject: Re: WHY I'LL NEVER FORGIVE FISHMAN
Date: 28 Mar 1996 06:10:04 GMT
Organization: Netcom
Lines: 106
Message-ID: <4jdafs\$os7@cloner3.netcom.com>
References: <4jcaq3\$h13@newsbf02.news.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: ftl-fl8-37.ix.netcom.com
X-NETCOM-Date: Wed Mar 27 10:10:04 PM PST 1996

In <4jcaq3\$h13@newsbf02.news.aol.com> lavends@aol.com (LaVendS) writes:

>I've been lurking around ARS for some time but now I want to post
>this.

>Fishman may be some kind of hero on ARS, but he was the slime who
>ruined my life and any chance I had of suing Scientology.
>I first met him at a protest in front of the Fort Harrison 15 >years
>ago, when he was pretending to be a protester but he was a G.O. >pig
>who wanted information out of me. I started seeing him never >knowing
>who he was or what he was. My sister Lisa was raped by two sea org
>staffers right after she had her baby. She was raped in her
>apartment, in her own living room just as a distraction while Fishman
>went into her bedroom through a window and "rescued" LRH's O/W's for
>the Church. She will never forget about what happened to her. Do you
>know how sore and in pain she was right after she had a baby? What
>were the names of the Sea Org guys, Fishman? Why weren't you all
>tried for conspiracy to commit rape?

Lavenda, Lavenda, Lavenda.... I'm sorry you still have all this anger.
You have every right to feel the way you do. I wish you had called me
first just to talk about this. I wish you would still call me (954)
434-9777.

I know nothing I can say will help, but I'm not in Scientology anymore.
I never denied that I got what I deserved, maybe I should have gotten
punished a lot more for what I did to hurt you.

What words can I say to heal this for you?

Nothing seems to matter now but you're anger. I usually can say
something but I am at a total loss for words right now.

This isn't easy.

>I heard Fishman was in jail, and was on parole or probation or
>whatever. If I knew about that, I would've gone to his parole hearing
>and told them to give him life and throw the key away. Now he's out
>and a big hero on ARS. Real nice. He's a pervert and a pig. You
>know what he did to me 4 years later? I was going to get a loan on my
>car to give to a lawyer to sue Scientology. I told him I was between
>jobs and did not pay the insurance on the Eldorado, so he had it
>burned up. I lost everything and collected nothing. I couldn't sue
>and he had his stat and I had no car, no money, I had zip. You think
>the slate is clean just because he's anti?

No, I know the slate will never be clean. I try hard but nothing is going to clean it up. I was always afraid this day would come and now I know saying I'm sorry doesn't mean anything. I never wanted to be a hero on a.r.s. I hoped that by taking a stand, maybe I could make up for some of the hell I put you through.

>The last time he ever spoke to me, do you know what he said to me? He
>told me he wanted to sleep with my daughter Sabrina, who was only 15
>at the time. That's how he broke it off. I guess he "handled" me,
>that was his way of offloading me after I had nothing else left.

I am sorry I disrespected your daughter and you. Saying that I believed with every fiber that you were an SP at the time won't help, but that's how I reacted. Scientology filled me with hate and in looking back you were very sincere and decent.

>If he publishes his book and there is anything about me or my daughter
>in it, he's getting sued, so when you e-mail him or talk to him since
>this is the newsgroup for people without a real life, you tell him I
>am going to sue him if he puts his book on the internet or the world
>web or tries to hawk it anywhere and I am mentioned in it. If he
>thinks he is going to make money off of my pain and hurt then he has a
>rude awakening.

It's about telling what happened. You've said it all right here. The book just has more details. What you did is very therapeutic. I am only hurt because you are hurting. What you said to me you are feeling and it's very sad. I don't know what to do to help, but I wish I had a magic answer.

>You can't just screw someone over and destroy their sister's life and
>take away whatever little dignity they had left and then just one day
>reform like the phony reformed convict he pretends to be and suddenly
>everything will be allright. It isn't allright, and never will be.

Okay, I accept that.

>You never get the whole story on ARS, especially Fishman's brand of
>"truth."

I never denied it --- just didn't talk about it. Part of me wishes there was a cancelbunny right about now to make this post go away. I have to confront this somehow, so just tell me if there is any solution; maybe one big cry, I don't know. I'm not too good at this, especially in front of the whole world. I know you wish I would just disappear or die, and you don't want to hear from me ever again. I know you don't believe a word I say but I feel your pain and I am crying too.

>LaVenda Van Schaick

>P.S. ask Fishman if he still shaves his balls.

My old girlfriend Dusty did that once. I was seeing her when we were going out. The answer is no, haven't done it since. Maybe I need a trim by now.

Lavenda, please be well and heal if you can. God, I wish I could help you.

To:

[Redacted]

May 31, 1996

From:

[Redacted]

Re: Bomb Threat

b6
b7C

Dear

[Redacted]

Please see the attached memo which is an analysis of Internet traffic in relation to the bomb threat. Let me know if you have any questions.

[Redacted]

b6
b7C

May 29, 1996

Re: Internet Terrorist Threat

"A concerned citizen" e-mail dated 17 May 1996
addressed to webmaster@scientology.org.

The attached anonymous e-mail message was received via the Internet by the Church of Scientology International World Wide Web site manager. The message is a "warning" of various terrorist acts which were to be taken against the Church and Church members by one [redacted]. The message is signed "A concerned citizen", however we have reasons to believe the actual author was [redacted] an ex-convict and diagnosed compulsive liar who has been attacking the Church for many years.

b6
b7C

[redacted] first attacks on the Church occurred in the late 1980's when he was under investigation by the FBI for over \$1 million in mail fraud and [redacted] determined to defend himself by attempting to blame the Church for his crimes. [redacted] was not successful and he was convicted for these crimes as well as obstruction of justice charges over his bogus attempt to frame the Church. [redacted] became a vocal critic of the Church while in jail and was quoted in Time magazine making the same false accusations which had been the basis of his obstruction of justice conviction.

b6
b7C

[redacted] maintains extensive communication with [redacted] a former Church member who has also been attacking the Church for years. [redacted] is currently in litigation with the Church, having been sued for copyright infringement and trade secret misappropriation for posting copyrighted materials on the Internet.

b6
b7C

Both [redacted] are active on the Internet newsgroup "alt.religion.scientology" (ars), a discussion group which specializes in criticism of the Scientology religion. [redacted] have been engaged in bitter disputes after [redacted] began exposing some of [redacted] attacks on the Church as groundless and based on lies, and published this information on ars. [redacted] has attacked [redacted] back for this. It was immediately following this public fight that the anonymous threat was e-mailed to the Scientology webmaster.

b6
b7C

[redacted] has never been a practicing member of the Church, yet he has claimed fictitious positions on staff and has claimed relations with Scientology staff members for years in order to claim his delusory relationship to the Church. When he first came into contact with the Church in 1976, he had been under-going psychiatric treatment for approximately 20 years. This included hypnotherapy and drug therapy as well as institutionalization. He has been diagnosed as a "schizophrenic, chronic, undifferentiated." He admitted, as well, to being "a compulsive liar." His

b6
b7C

medical files are replete with instances of completely irresponsible acts such as setting fire to a building in a summer camp when he was a child.

The ars newsgroup consists of about 15 former Scientologists who are critical of their experiences in the Church, and several non-Scientologists who comment on their statements. The term "copyright terrorist" (used in the e-mail threat) was coined on this newsgroup to refer to people who have engaged in malicious infringement on the Internet.

Attempting to get the Church to investigate [redacted] and file complaints with the BATF, FBI and DEA as a means of getting back at him, fits the modus operandi of [redacted]

b6
b7c

The factors which lead to [redacted] as a key suspect for the threatening e-mail are as follows:

b6
b7c

1. One of the main participants in ars is [redacted] [redacted] In December 1994 and early 1995, [redacted] posted Scientology materials on the internet on ars. Certain of those materials are unpublished works, constituting an advanced level of scriptures of the Scientology religion. These trade secrets are owned by Religious Technology Center (RTC), which is also the exclusive licensee of the copyrights. Bridge Publications, Inc. is the exclusive licensee under the copyrights in the published works by L. Ron Hubbard which were posted. [redacted] was contacted by RTC attorneys and told to cease and desist, but he did not. RTC then filed for, and was granted, a TRO and then a preliminary injunction against him. RTC was also given a summons to seize his computer and storage files to search for any other copyrighted materials. The case between RTC and [redacted] is still on-going.

b6
b7c

2. Toward the end of December 1995, [redacted] began participating in the ars newsgroup, posting stories about his claimed involvement in Scientology. He posted lies about Scientology services he claimed to have received and activities he claimed to have participated in on behalf of the Church (more alleged justifications for his criminal history).

b6
b7c

3. Approximately 3 weeks ago, a manuscript written by [redacted] called "The Lonesome Squirrel" was posted the Internet. The manuscript, which appears to have been written by him while in jail, is a compilation of many of the lies he has been telling for a number of years about his involvement in Scientology. A month prior to that, he claimed he would never be able to publish the manuscript because it was destroyed by an employee of a pest control company who deliberately wiped out the storage on his computer. At that time, [redacted] claimed that this pest control employee was a secret agent for the Church. (This is a blatant lie and there are doubts as to whether or not the pest control company even exists.)

b6
b7c

4. Once the manuscript was available on the Internet, the various participants in the newsgroup started reading

it. A few began spotting the errors contained in it (based on other data [redacted] had posted by that time, as well as their own personal knowledge), and they started commenting on it on the ars newsgroup.

b6
b7C

5. One of the main people to criticize it -- calling [redacted] a liar -- was [redacted] had formerly been on staff at a Church location where [redacted] was claiming certain fictitious incidents occurred. [redacted] began pointing out a few of the discrepancies in [redacted] stories and suggested [redacted] let the newsgroup know how much of the manuscript was made up and how much was fact.

b6
b7C

[redacted] became defensive, and refused to admit any of the manuscript was false.

b6
b7C

6. On top of this criticism, another situation was building two weeks prior to the manuscript's posting, where [redacted] was caught in two other lies. He posted on the newsgroup the addresses and phone numbers for two people he thought were Scientologists, or connected to the Church. However, he had the wrong names and addresses. Posting real names and addresses of individuals on the Internet without their permission is considered a violation of the code of ethics of the Internet. Getting the wrong people is even worse. What he had done was put these people's personal information on the Internet for thousands to see. He suggested people contact them and complain about the Church.

b6
b7C

One long-term ars participant, [redacted] pointed out [redacted] error the first time. [redacted] did not apologize or back down. He then posted the name and address for the second person, which was also wrong. This time, the person whose name he posted found his name on the Internet and got upset. The person contacted [redacted] and asked what was going on. At that point, [redacted] angrily lectured [redacted] on getting his facts straight before posting.

b6
b7C

This incident and the arguments with [redacted] were underway when [redacted] hired a new attorney at the end of April. He then posted a notice that he was advised by his attorney to discontinue posting matters on the newsgroup which could relate to his litigation. By that time, whoever, his "Lonesome Squirrel" story, and all the horror stories he had related about Scientologists and the Church, were in question. [redacted] had been largely discredited by [redacted] with others joining in.

b6
b7C

[redacted] has continued to post minor items to the newsgroup, so it is obvious that he does watch it and has most likely seen the attack on his story continue. [redacted] is still taking [redacted] lies apart, and other ex-Scientologists are agreeing with points [redacted] makes. There have been a few posts where [redacted] has flat-out called [redacted] a liar, and other postings of others making statements like "that's not true" or "that's incorrect." Calling him a liar is one thing that he cannot tolerate and he admits it in more than one of his postings.

b6
b7C

Considering his criminal history, his attempts to

blame the Church for his actions, and his responses to
[redacted] and his responses to being called a "liar" the
e-mail threat is clearly something he would do.

b6
b7c

-o0o-

Internet Terrorist Threat Index

May 29, 1996

- [redacted]
1. 17 May 1996 - e-mail received at webmaster@scientology.org from anonymous-remailer insinuating that [redacted] planned terrorist acts against the Church.
 2. 5 May 1996 - posting by [redacted] in which he admitted he got very angry about being attacked personally.
 3. 6 May 1996 - posting by [redacted] regarding his book "Lonesome Squirrel" containing stories about the crimes he had committed.
 4. 6 May 1996 - posting by [redacted] explaining torture he would like to see another poster experience.
 5. 13 May 1996 - posting by [redacted] telling [redacted] he is deluded.
 6. 13 May 1996 - posting by [redacted] taking apart a [redacted] posting line-by-line, telling [redacted] he is a meglomaniac and ordering him to behave.
 7. 14 May 1996 - posting by [redacted] in response to [redacted] about another poster's relationship to [redacted] ends it with an insult to [redacted]
 8. 14 May 1996 - posting by [redacted] accusing [redacted] of posting messages with aliases.
 9. 15 May 1996 - posting by a.r.s. individual quoting parts of the [redacted] on-going fight.
 10. 15 May 1996 - posting by [redacted] where he questions [redacted] hypnosis to "enhance memories."
 11. 15 May 1996 - posting by [redacted] responding to questions about [redacted] from another poster in which he implies that [redacted] posted under an anonymous name.
 12. 16 May 1996 - posting by [redacted] about [redacted] book, defining it as "...an artful mixture of fact and fiction.
 13. 19 May 1996 - posting by [redacted] regarding his attorney's instructions to him that he not discuss matters pertaining to his litigation on the net.
 14. 23 May 1996 - posting by [redacted] commenting on [redacted] language.
 15. 24 May 1996 - posting by [redacted] telling [redacted] that calling people names was demeaning and

b6
b7c

* suggesting write his own biography.

b6
b7c

--o0o--

Article 29 of 944

Subject: Re: CRITICS SAY NO TO OUTING (was "I can speak.")
From: Steve Fishman <xenu@ix.netcom.com>
Date: 1996/05/05
Message-Id: <4mil97\$k2i@dfw-ixnews10.ix.netcom.com>
Organization: Netcom
X-Netcom-Date: Sun May 05 11:33:43 AM CDT 1996
Newsgroups: alt.religion.scientology

Sherilyn <Sherilyn@sidaway.demon.co.uk> writes:

> In article <4mgclh\$1ko@dfw-ixnews6.ix.netcom.com>, Steve Fishman

> <xenu@ix.netcom.com> writes

> >> I don't buy these excuses. If Steven Fishman posts any more outing
> >> stuff I shall consider withdrawing from the group.

> >I agree that it is not worth getting an ulcer over if there is anyone
> >who can't take the pressure here.

> Bastard. :)

Wrong, I've got a mommy and daddy.

> >Personally, I have found my niche, and plan to stay here until the
> >swallows return from Capistrano, until the fat lady sings and
> >until the cows come home.

> Okay, so you called my bluff.

> I still don't agree with this. Consider the harm you could do if some
> nutter gets hold of an address you publish and commits a serious crime
> using the information.

Now here you HAVE a valid point, of course. But I am not giving away
National Defense secrets and passing it to the KGB.

But along with this valid point comes the fear or perception that this
COULD happen, and it sends a strong message to people like Eugene Ingram
who might see this possibility occurring as well, although in Ingram's
case I don't think he would care because he is such a criminal.

So I have proposed a compromise to try to end this flame war:

The next time I intend to "OUT" someone, I will first post my
intentions and what information I have and why I want to OUT this
individual.

I will allow a cooling off period (because generally I have done this
"OUTING" when I have been very angry about being attacked personally
or when I have seen grave injustices on a.r.s. such as the lawsuit
against Grady Ward which prompted me to embark on this course of action
in the first place....

I will categorically say right now that when I find Ivan Durekovich, I will OUT him no matter what, because this is very personal.

But in all other cases I will weigh the potential help vs. harm it would cause and included in this is the dischord and splintering of the group, which I really don't want to see occur.

You have to realize that this group is evolving, emotions run high, and there is a time and a place for reacting appropriately. I still feel what I did was aboslutely right, but I don't want to be the cause of creating internal animosity amongst the critics.

As one friend of mine e-mailed me today, it might be far more effective to OUT these people in Court where it counts.

And to that extent my friend is right.

So for the moment I am going to consider all of the factors including the disruption to the group. I agree to state my intentions to out someone in advance (except for Ingram and Durekovich) and see how the group reacts first.

I personally would rather spend the time being more effective, as I have been told, by helping put the backlinks and submit-its out there so that people can find the critics pages on the web.

I realize that even though the "OUTING" may make ME feel a lot better, it has had limited effectiveness.

Still, it may have its place at the right time and under the right circumstances.

This is the last thing I want to say on it because I want to move on to more important things.

I hope you can all live with this.

With Best Wishes,

Steve Fishman

- > For information about what the cult of scientology is doing to your right of
- > free speech on the Net:
- > <http://www.cybercom.net/~rnewman/scientology/home.html>
- > No fancy graphics, no flythrus, no crap. Just solid information. More hits!





Article 32 of 944

Subject: Re: Cook Snipe Hunting with Fish bait
From: Steve Fishman <xenu@ix.netcom.com>
Date: 1996/05/06
Message-Id: <4mjh11\$5k1@dfw-ixnews3.ix.netcom.com>
Organization: Netcom
X-Netcom-Date: Sun May 05 7:27:13 PM CDT 1996
Newsgroups: alt.religion.scientology

mikesmith3@aol.com (MikeSmith3) writes:

> Okay, "Nite-Latch", I'll make you a deal. You post a full confession of
> all your crimes and I'll publically announce my true identity. Please be
> sure to include ALL of the ones against Church of Scientology.

I already have, you fucking Russian Nazi Scientologist piece of shit. The
Lonesome Squirrel is loaded with crimes I committed on behalf of your stinking
cult.

And I don't make deals with conspirators to commit murder, like you, Ivan.

> These will, of course, need to be fully documented in a sworn statement.
> And you will need to drop all your bogus counter-claims too. Are you game?

The only thing I would like you to DROP is DEAD, Ivan.

> >The evidence is circumstantial but too much so to be a coincidence.

> >The anti-semitic remarks of Mike/Ivan, their intimate knowledge with
> >Miami landmarks woven into their posts, their identical brand of covert
> >hostility, their writing style.... No, they are the same, Anonymous Steve "J".

> Oh- and by the way Steve, my next best friend is also Jewish. Boca Tov.

> Mike

Does anyone know how to say "Fuck You" in Hebrew for the benefit of Ivan and
all of his best friends? They never taught that to me while I was studying for
my Bar Mitzvah.

With Best Wishes,

Steve Fishman





4

Article 33 of 944

Subject: Re: "NITE-LATCH" Fishman - Military Record <by Ivan MikeSm
 From: Steve Fishman <xenu@ix.netcom.com>
 Date: 1996/05/06
 Message-Id: <4mjhkv\$5k1@dfw-ixnews3.ix.netcom.com>
 Organization: Netcom
 X-Netcom-Date: Sun May 05 7:37:51 PM CDT 1996
 Newsgroups: alt.religion.scientology

an451544@anon.penet.fi (Prignilliu) writes:

> 3 May 1996
 > In article <4m59j0\$jgo@dfw-ixnews4.ix.netcom.com>,
 > Steve Fishman <xenu@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
 > >mikesmith3@aol.com (MikeSmith3) writes:
 > [a cross between D/A and satire]
 > >I've got a game perfect for you, Ivan.
 > >It's called Russian roulette.
 > >Play that one.
 > >A lot of us think it would be hysterical if you lost!
 > And a lot us us (most, I hope) do not. I don't wish death on
 > anyone, no matter how vigorously I oppose their actions.

Why did my wife have to die after meeting with him? Why do my children have to be without their mother?

Death is too good for Ivan Durekovich. I would much rather see him put in a cage and tortured every day of his life with hot coals on long sticks.

> Death comes fast enough to each person without other human
 > beings hurrying it along.

For Ivan and those criminals in the cult who have contributed to the death of innocent people no punishment is fast enough.

> >Steve Fishman
 > Prignillius



From netcom.com!ixnews1.ix.netcom.com!decwrl!news1.digital.com!nntp-hub2.barrnet.net!news.Stanford.EDU!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!tribune.meitca.com!uunet!in2.uu.net!newsfeed.internetmci.com!news.kei.com!news.texas.net!nntp.primenet.com!news.primenet.com!news.primenet.com!not-for-mail Wed May 15 03:24:37 1996

Path: netcom.com!ixnews1.ix.netcom.com!decwrl!news1.digital.com!nntp-hub2.barrnet.net!news.Stanford.EDU!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!tribune.meitca.com!uunet!in2.uu.net!newsfeed.internetmci.com!news.kei.com!news.texas.net!nntp.primenet.com!news.primenet.com!news.primenet.com!not-for-mail

From: inForm@primenet.com (Rev. Dennis L Erlich)

Newsgroups: alt.religion.scientology

Subject: "Clique? I don't gotta sho you no steekeen clique."

Date: 13 May 1996 15:41:02 -0700

Organization: inFormer Ministry

Lines: 40

Sender: root@primenet.com

Message-ID: <3197b791.2262305@news.primenet.com>

X-Posted-By: ip9-041.lax.primenet.com

X-Newsreader: Forte Agent .99e/16.227

xxxx@ix.netcom.com (STEVEN FISHMAN) wrote:

Me:

>>Yea, but I don't, Steve. Who comprises the clique of which you

>> speak?

>> Be specific here, Steve. To whom are you refering.

Steve:

>I have made my peace with Ron Newman. I have excused Sister Clara on

>the basis that my outing was wrong. I can understand and have forgiven

>Tarla Star for reporting me to Netcom for the same reason, although I

>am not happy at her action. I do not excuse Diane Richardson. I find

>her malicious and vicious towards me.

You think too highly of your self. "Made peace", "excused" "forgiven", "won't excuse". On what deluded moral high ground do you place yourself in order to presume to place such judgements on these decent folk? Hmm? What makes you think anyone cares a wit about your pontifications? Did someone write you and tell you "good for standing up to that ars clique?"

>So the clique has narrowed down to 1 person, thank God.

Us wogs call that "an individual." Not a clique, Steve.

>>>I have been flamed beyond the wildest furnace for challenging David

>>>Mayo and I have done so because I think that mind control stinks

>>>no matter which a.r.s. icon is promoting it.

>>David is hardly an icon. Nor are you.

>I don't want to be an icon. I delete them from my program manager all the time and I wouldn't want to be any less rational with David.

This sentence reeks of delusion, Steve. WTF *are* you talking about?

Rev. Dennis L Erlich * * the inFormer * *
<dennis.l.erlich@support.com>
<inForm@primenet.com>

5

From netcom.com!ixnews1.ix.netcom.com!decwrl!spool.mu.edu!usenet.eel.ufl.edu!bof
h.dot!newsfeed.internetmci.com!uuneo.neosoft.com!Starbase.NeoSoft.COM!not-for-mail
Tue May 14 08:04:57 1996

Path: netcom.com!ixnews1.ix.netcom.com!decwrl!spool.mu.edu!usenet.eel.ufl.edu!bof
h.dot!newsfeed.internetmci.com!uuneo.neosoft.com!Starbase.NeoSoft.COM!not-for-mail

From: wbarwell@Starbase.NeoSoft.COM (William Barwell)

Newsgroups: alt.religion.scientology

Subject: Re: "The Steve Fishman Show! Starring ..."

Date: 13 May 1996 21:39:07 -0500

Organization: NeoSoft, Inc. +1 713 968 5800

Lines: 73

Message-ID: <4n8rob\$k07@Starbase.NeoSoft.COM>

References: <3197b4b7.1532737@news.primenet.com>

NNTP-Posting-Host: starbase.neosoft.com

In article <3197b4b7.1532737@news.primenet.com>, Rev. Dennis L Erlich <inForm@primenet.com> wrote:

>xxxx@ix.netcom.com (STEVEN FISHMAN) wrote:

>

>>I hope I am not typecast with only one role.

>>Then I will never get any good acting jobs.

>

> This better be the real thing, Steve. Script it with 100% truth.

>

>>There is a little bit of the clown in all of us, and I may have the bug

>>more than the rest.

>

> No flights of fancy are needed, Steve.

>

>>But I have stopped the outing, I saw that mistake. However I disagreed

>>with Ron Newman when he ordered me to stop attacking his friends.

>

> He can say what he likes, right?

>

>>I

>>would not attack anyone because they were Ron Newman's friends. I

>>wouldn't even attack people just because they were Ron Hubbard's

>>friends. My attacks were based upon (1) being called a liar who

>>INVENTED Lavenda and

>

> I considered the possibility myself.

>

>>(2) being ordered to stop criticizing David Mayo

>>for his failure to take responsibility in some small part for the death

>>of Flo Barnett.

>

> "Ordered" isn't the word. But if you had a clue about net.culture, you'd know that.

>

> And besides, you are not in charge of assigning responsibility

>around here, Steve. Leave off it. There's no reason to treat David

>rudly. He and Julie have been through it. Of anybody I know, they

>were just practicing the "religion" religiously. They had no OSA.

>They never locked people in basements or tortured them. These are

>just "tek" people. I can assure you, that they didn't think they were

>hurting people when they audited them. Quite the contrary. Now if

>they want to change their mind about the tek, they will not do so

>because some lout like you is screaming at them.

>

> Behave yourself, Steve. And that *is* an order!

>

>>I have to fight back because being attacked for (1) and (2) are wrong.

>>I have made my peace with the outing, and I can't do any more than
>>that.

>

> F*ck your peace, Steve. Calm down and you won't do any more stupid
>stuff. Pump yourself up, and you're headed for an unpleasant
>consequence. I can see it comin'.

>

Good advice, Steve.

Net credibility is a precious commodity. Once lost it is hard to regain.
Without it, you are a ghost, a dead man typing. Like Koos or other net
kooks.

Calm down and don't let the pressures of real life make you
take it all out on the net. Thrashing about won't help your
cause.

Make your posts count. That is the best revenge.

Pope Charles

SubGenius Pope Of Houston

Slack!

From netcom.com!ixnews1.ix.netcom.com!howland.reston.ans.net!nntp.coast.net!news
.kei.com!newsfeed.internetmci.com!in2.uu.net!hodes.com!netcomsv!uu4news.netcom.c
om!lavc!lavc!dennis.l.erlich Wed May 15 03:24:47 1996
Newsgroups: alt.religion.scientology
Path: netcom.com!ixnews1.ix.netcom.com!howland.reston.ans.net!nntp.coast.net!new
s.kei.com!newsfeed.internetmci.com!in2.uu.net!hodes.com!netcomsv!uu4news.netcom.
com!lavc!lavc!dennis.l.erlich
From: dennis.l.erlich@support.com
Subject: LAVENDA VAN SCHAICK -
Message-ID: <9605141410.0JWMP01@support.com>
Organization: L.A. Valley College Public BBS (818)985-7150
X-Mailer: TBBS/PIMP v3.35
Distribution: world
Date: Tue, 14 May 96 14:10:11 -0700
Lines: 38

Steve Fishman <xxxx@ix.netcom.com>

referen@neont.com (Diane Richardson) writes:
> But I admit it. TarlaStar and I are a clique. We've never argued
> about anything I can think of, although come to think of it I wasn't
> all that happy when she decided to drive the Crosstown Bus to Tod'l
> Freedom topless.

I knew it all along! If anyone was allowed to drive the Bus
topless, you figured it ought to be you. Right Diane?
Admit it.

Steve Fishman <xxxx@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>Are you outing her or something? What is that last crack for?

A joke, Oh Clueless One.

>And yes, you just confirmed why I mentioned her being your little
>puppet.

Get a grip, foo.

>And to think there was once a time when I came on this newsgroup
>that I really looked up to you and I really liked you.

Hmm. What a concept! The same may one day be said to you,
Steve.

>What a fool I was.

Am, Steve. "What a fool I am."

Rev. Dennis L Erlich * * the inFormer * *
<dennis.l.erlich@support.com>
<inForm@primenet.com>

From netcom.com!ixnews1.ix.netcom.com!decwrl!spool.mu.edu!usenet.eel.ufl.edu!bof
h.dot!newsfeed.internetmci.com!news.texas.net!nntp.primenet.com!news.primenet.co
m!news.primenet.com!not-for-mail Wed May 15 03:24:50 1996
Path: netcom.com!ixnews1.ix.netcom.com!decwrl!spool.mu.edu!usenet.eel.ufl.edu!bo
fh.dot!newsfeed.internetmci.com!news.texas.net!nntp.primenet.com!news.primenet.c
om!news.primenet.com!not-for-mail
From: inForm@primenet.com (Rev. Dennis L Erlich)
Newsgroups: alt.religion.scientology
Subject: Re: LaVenda Van Schaick -- are you out there?
Date: 14 May 1996 16:06:02 -0700
Organization: inFormer Ministry
Lines: 22
Sender: root@primenet.com
Message-ID: <31990f17.7194241@news.primenet.com>
References: <4n6blt\$1f3@dfw-ixnews3.ix.netcom.com> <3198b67a.33991160@news.snafu
.de>
X-Posted-By: ip098.lax.primenet.com
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent .99e/16.227

tilman@berlin.snafu.de (Tilman Hausherr) wrote:

Steve Fishman <xxxx@ix.netcom.com> asks Tilman:

>>Did you ever find out WHY the Clearwater Police Detective used
>>an alias?

Tilman:
>I'm not sure if I remember.

Well I do!

>I think he used a friend's account ?

Of course that is right, Tilman. No alias.

Steve, is this alias business yet another instance of you trying to
'pump up' the newsgroup?

Rev. Dennis L Erlich * * the inFormer * *
<dennis.l.erlich@support.com>
<inForm@primenet.com>

From netcom.com!ixnews1.ix.netcom.com!ix.netcom.com!news Thu May 16 17:40:00 1996

6

Path: netcom.com!ixnews1.ix.netcom.com!ix.netcom.com!news

From: tedmay@ix.netcom.com (Ted Mayett)

Newsgroups: alt.religion.scientology

Subject: Re: Free Speech on a.r.s. vs. Orders from Ron Newman

Date: 15 May 1996 16:42:02 GMT

Organization: Netcom

Lines: 15

Message-ID: <4ndlqg\$34o@dfw-ixnews4.ix.netcom.com>

References: <4n3t13\$f10@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com> <31990f27.7209894@news.primenet.com>

NNTP-Posting-Host: lvx-nv10-03.ix.netcom.com

X-NETCOM-Date: Wed May 15 11:42:02 AM CDT 1996

In <31990f27.7209894@news.primenet.com> inForm@primenet.com (Rev. Dennis L Erlich) writes:

>

>Steve Fishman <xxxx@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>

>>I am asking that they look within and search their own
>>consciences and ask themselves if they could have helped Flo before
>>she committed suicide. That's all I can do.

>

> You characterize your barrage of insinuations and shotgun accusations
>against David and Julie as merely a polite request.

>

> But of course it's just more of your bullsh*t. Isn't it Steve?

>

Maybe it's just anger. Honest anger. And not BS at all.

9

From netcom.com!ixnews1.ix.netcom.com!decwrl!news.PBI.net!nntp-hub2.barrnet.net!
sgigate.sgi.com!nntp.coast.net!news.sprintlink.net!nntp.primenet.com!news.primen
et.com!news.primenet.com!not-for-mail Thu May 16 17:40:00 1996
Path: netcom.com!ixnews1.ix.netcom.com!decwrl!news.PBI.net!nntp-hub2.barrnet.net
!sgigate.sgi.com!nntp.coast.net!news.sprintlink.net!nntp.primenet.com!news.primen
et.com!news.primenet.com!not-for-mail
From: inForm@primenet.com (Rev. Dennis L Erlich)
Newsgroups: alt.religion.scientology
Subject: Re: Hypnosis induction was successfully used to clarify recall in Lones
ome Squirrel
Date: 15 May 1996 09:52:02 -0700
Organization: inFormer Ministry
Lines: 42
Sender: root@primenet.com
Message-ID: <319a087d.9586829@news.primenet.com>
References: <4n8okl\$ql1@dfw-ixnews5.ix.netcom.com> <4nbsc9\$6b8@nnrpl.news.primen
et.com>
X-Posted-By: ip052.lax.primenet.com
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent .99e/16.227

lppard@Primenet.Com (James J. Lippard) wrote:

>In article <4n8okl\$ql1@dfw-ixnews5.ix.netcom.com>,
>STEVEN FISHMAN <xxxx@ix.netcom.com> wrote:-
>>As I have said before, I did not walk around with a tape recorder at
>>Flag. The conversations have been editorialized as to syntax,
>>punctuation, etc. This may also shock people, but when certain events
>>were unclear, I have asked Dr. Geertz to take me deep into hypnosis
>>(Dr. Geertz is a very eminent hypnotist, professor of clinical hypnosis
>>as well as professor of psychiatry and psychology) to obtain more vivid
>>renderings of many of the events in the book.
>
>More vivid? Sure.
>
>More accurate? Absolutely not.
>
>The empirical evidence is overwhelming that hypnosis does not enhance
>accuracy of recall in the slightest--in fact, it hampers it, because
>it makes the subject more suggestible and able to confabulate wild
>details which are then sincerely believed.
>
>This is why recall under hypnosis is not admissible evidence in a court
>of law in the United States.

Interesting point, Jim.

Hey, wasn't it originally Steve's mission to entrap his shrink,
Gertz?

>I'll be happy to provide references upon request. (The work of
>Martin Orne was instrumental in getting hypnotic recall barred from
>the courtroom.)

Thanks for the insight.

Why one would use hypnosis to "enhance memories" of awake events
that happened 10 years prior, is beyond me.

Rev. Dennis L Erlich * * the inFormer * *

From netcom.com!ixnews1.ix.netcom.com!decwrl!spool.mu.edu!sgigate.sgi.com!news.m
sfc.nasa.gov!newsfeed.internetmci.com!csn!nntp-xfer-1.csn.net!torn!nott!cunews!f
reenet-news.carleton.ca!FreeNet.Carleton.CA!av282 Thu May 16 17:39:53 1996
Path: netcom.com!ixnews1.ix.netcom.com!decwrl!spool.mu.edu!sgigate.sgi.com!news.
msfc.nasa.gov!newsfeed.internetmci.com!csn!nntp-xfer-1.csn.net!torn!nott!cunews!
freenet-news.carleton.ca!FreeNet.Carleton.CA!av282
From: av282@FreeNet.Carleton.CA (Martin G. V. Hunt)
Newsgroups: alt.religion.scientology
Subject: Re: LAVENDA VAN SCHAICK -
Date: 15 May 1996 03:29:58 GMT
Organization: The National Capital FreeNet
Lines: 54
Sender: av282@freenet2.carleton.ca (Martin G. V. Hunt)
Message-ID: <4nbj3m\$5v@freenet-news.carleton.ca>
References: <9605141410.0JWMP01@support.com>
Reply-To: av282@FreeNet.Carleton.CA (Martin G. V. Hunt)
NNTP-Posting-Host: freenet2.carleton.ca

(dennis.l.erlich@support.com) writes:

> Steve Fishman <xxxx@ix.netcom.com>

>

> referen@neont.com (Diane Richardson) writes:

>> But I admit it. TarlaStar and I are a clique. We've never argued
>> about anything I can think of, although come to think of it I wasn't
>> all that happy when she decided to drive the Crosstown Bus to Tod'l
>> Freedom topless.

>

> I knew it all along! If anyone was allowed to drive the Bus
> topless, you figgered it ought to be you. Right Diane?
> Admit it.

I wish she'd quit driving and come over here and sit down on my lap.

> Steve Fishman <xxxx@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>>Are you outing her or something? What is that last crack for?

>

> A joke, Oh Clueless One.

Go to the back of the bus, Steve.

>>And yes, you just confirmed why I mentioned her being your little
>>puppet.

>

> Get a grip, foo.

I bet he has a grip; he just needs advice in stroking up and down, and
you're just the man to give it to him, right?

>>And to think there was once a time when I came on this newsgroup
>>that I really looked up to you and I really liked you.

>

> Hmm. What a concept! The same may one day be said to you,
> Steve.

If he's lucky, yes.

>>What a fool I was.

>

> *Am*, Steve. "What a fool I am."

Could you ride Steve a bit harder? I think you're being *way* too kind to the poor bugger.

ttyl,
martin.

--

Cogito, ergo sum. <http://www.ncf.carleton.ca/~av282> "I am not familiar with the Church of Scientology. If I were to define them by their representatives, I would believe them to be coercive, obnoxious, oppressive, rude and hysterical." -- joe@zippo.com

From netcom.com!ixnews1.ix.netcom.com!howland.reston.ans.net!news-e2a.gnn.com!news-
wstf01.news.aol.com!newsbf02.news.aol.com!not-for-mail Thu May 16 17:40:22 1996
Path: netcom.com!ixnews1.ix.netcom.com!howland.reston.ans.net!news-e2a.gnn.com!
ewstf01.news.aol.com!newsbf02.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
From: sose278720@aol.com (S0se278720)
Newsgroups: alt.religion.scientology
Subject: Re: LaVenda Van Schaick -- are you out there?
Date: 16 May 1996 00:33:16 -0400
Organization: America Online, Inc. (1-800-827-6364)
Lines: 74
Sender: root@newsbf02.news.aol.com
Message-ID: <4neb6c\$7od@newsbf02.news.aol.com>
References: <319a9ae2.10057206@news.primenet.com>
Reply-To: sose278720@aol.com (S0se278720)
NNTP-Posting-Host: newsbf02.mail.aol.com

Subject: Re: LaVenda Van Schaick -- are you out there?
From: inForm@primenet.com (Rev. Dennis L Erlich)
Date: 15 May 1996 15:21:01 -0700
Message-ID: <319a5539.8482617@news.primenet.com>

sose278720@aol.com wrote:

>OOOOOOH, I love it! Now Steve is not simply a liar who invented Lavenda
>to back up his story, he is an OSA plant!

DENNIS:

"I don't know WTF you are, nor do I really care, Steve0."

Actually, you do know me, Dennis. we exchanged some friendly e-mail last fall at the time when you were taking quite a bit of heat over Andrew "pearls-before-swine" Greenberg (the old Andrew, before he mellowed out). I made it clear that I didn't agree with the roasting you were getting. Now I don't agree with the roasting Steve is getting.

DENNIS:

"If you want to allege that Steven is an OSA agent, make your case. I'll listen.

"But it certainly seems you have some purpose in twisting *my* words. I merely said that if we were placing relative likelihoods on who is OSA and who isn't, I'd have to place David Mayo as a zero possibility and Steven's possibly much higher."

~~~~~

'Snot true, Dennis, I have no "purpose" in "twisting" your words. I was not, in fact, responding to your comment about DM (was that not clear in my post?). I was responding to this:

REV. GYPSY JOKER:

>Do you have  
>any evidence that Celsio = Steve? Personally, I \*REALLY\* doubt it. I've  
>been writing and editing for sometime now and as much as a person may try  
>to "write as someone else" little bits of style and certain phrases  
always  
>seem to blow their cover.

DENNIS:

"If Steve were helping OSA, they'd have no trouble setting up

additional account/personnas for him. This is precisely what he was expert in doing, after all."

REV. GYPSY JOKER:

>None of this was evident to me in Celsio's post.  
>If you've got evidence that Celsio is Fishman post it, otherwise please  
>stick to the facts.

This is the post I was referring to, Dennis, did I misinterpret it? Of course, I did notice that you also brought up the OSA/Steve link to David.

DENNIS:

"We have evidence that Steven is zoomin' us with some of the 'facts' in his biographical fantasy.

"Does that count?"

~~~~~

Not unless it was clearly declared to be 100% fact. You stated that it "appeared" that it was supposed to be. I find it difficult to believe that a book with so much detailed dialogue from 15 years ago could possibly be "100% fact" ; my assumption, since it obviously does contain fact, is that it is an artful mixture of fact and fiction. If that's the case, it can't be taken as evidence of the veracity of the author one way or the other.

Rev. Dennis L Erlich * * the inFormer * *
<dennis.l.erlich@support.com>
<inForm@primenet.com>

12



Article 9 of 944

Subject: Instructions from Ford Greene, Esq.
From: xenu@ix.netcom.com(STEVEN FISHMAN)
Date: 1996/05/19
Message-Id: <4nm85d\$lmv@sjx-ixn4.ix.netcom.com>
Organization: Netcom
X-Netcom-Date: Sat May 18 9:30:37 PM PDT 1996
Newsgroups: alt.religion.scientology

13

Dear alt.religion.scientology,

I have been instructed by my lawyer not to discuss matters which are the subject of pending litigation.

I will miss participating in discussions of these things, however, winning in court is currently my top priority.

I am looking forward to continuing to participate in discussions of all other areas and topics on this newsgroup which I love very much.

With Best Wishes,

Steve Fishman





Article 6 of 944

Subject: Re: The whole Fishman thing
From: Steve Fishman <xenu@ix.netcom.com>
Date: 1996/05/23
Message-Id: <4o21b8\$gfv@dfw-ixnews4.ix.netcom.com>
Organization: Netcom
X-Netcom-Date: Thu May 23 4:29:12 PM CDT 1996
Newsgroups: alt.religion.scientology

inForm@primenet.com (Rev. Dennis L Erlich) writes:

> sose278720@aol.com (SOse278720) wrote:

> >based on the fact that Dennis repeatedly referred to me as Scieno,
> >OSA, and Trojan Horse,

> Post the articles where I did, you lying sack o shit.

Is it me, or do all ministers talk like this?

---Steve Fishman

> Rev. Dennis L Erlich * * the inFormer * *
> <dennis.l.erlich@support.com>
> <inForm@primenet.com>



14



Article 2 of 944

Subject: Re: The whole Fishman thing
 From: Steve Fishman <xenu@ix.netcom.com>
 Date: 1996/05/24
 Message-Id: <4o35u8\$r4e@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com>
 Organization: Netcom
 X-Netcom-Date: Thu May 23 9:12:24 PM CDT 1996
 Newsgroups: alt.religion.scientology

15

inForm@primenet.com (Rev. Dennis L Erlich) writes:

> Steve Fishman <xenu@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

> >Is it me, or do all ministers talk like this?

> It's you, turd.

If name calling makes you happy, go for it.

> If you want absolution you need to confess to what you've been doing
> here and feel some remorse for deceiving the clueless wogs. Until

I do not need or want absolution.

Calling people on this newsgroup "clueless wogs" doesn't enhance you. It is demeaning and insulting to many.

Better to search within for the source of your upset and start confronting it with good reality and truth.

Better yet, write up your own autobiography. There's always room for the "Lonesome Informer".

With Best Wishes,

Steve Fishman



June 3, 1996

America Online

8619 Westwood Center Drive
Vienna, Va 22182-2285b6
b7C

Dear

I represent the Church of Scientology International, which, as you may be aware, has been most prominent in cleaning up abuses on the internet over the past several years, particularly in the area of protecting intellectual property rights on the internet.

The Church has a large presence on the internet and recently constructed some of the most extensive web sites on the net in order to accurately inform internet users on all aspects of the Church's beliefs, activities, social betterment programs and the benefits its members derive from participation in the Church.

Because of the freewheeling nature of usenet, it is one of the areas of the internet which is most easily abused and open to illicit activity. I am writing this letter of complaint on behalf of my client as a consequence of one of the users of America Online having crossed the line from simply being abusive to engaging in tortious and illegal acts and I am formally requesting that America Online investigate this matter and take the appropriate action to cancel the account of this user,

b6
b7C

conduct has resulted in damage to not only my client but to individuals not associated with the Church, and only the removal of this user from America Online will serve as an adequate disciplinary action.

Below are the details on this situation:

is an individual who has already been convicted, sentenced and served a prison term in a federal penitentiary for his earlier attempts to frame the Church of Scientology for criminal acts he was committing. He was also convicted for mail fraud in an elaborate securities fraud scheme which resulted in losses of over \$1 million to private individuals. I have attached several court documents showing his prior convictions for these crimes. (TAB A)

Even though served jail time in a federal prison, he has continued to falsely claim that the Church put him up to committing the crimes for which he was sentenced, despite this defense having been soundly disproved by the FBI and US Attorney's office as confirmed in Federal Court. As

b6
b7C

can be seen by the attached documents and other documents relating to [redacted] conviction, [redacted] is a manipulative con artist who manufactures lies any time it suits his ends and such allegations regarding his relationship to the Church of Scientology are a complete fabrication by [redacted]

b6
b7C

In March of this year, [redacted] began raising the name [redacted] in posts to the newsgroup alt.religion.scientology, a newsgroup which has essentially become a usenet hate group directed toward my clients.

b6
b7C

[redacted] is an individual who had filed a suit against the Church, which was amicably settled over 10 years ago and with whom the Church has had no contact since. In his March posting mentioning [redacted] name, [redacted] made the outrageous and false claim that the Church had arranged to have [redacted] raped. This is libellous on its face. (TAB B)

b6
b7C

Subsequently, on March 27, 1996, someone posting from the screen name [redacted]@aol.com" and claiming to be [redacted] [redacted] posted an article to alt.religion.scientology stating that [redacted] had engaged in a plot to get information from her and that he had stole documents from [redacted] bedroom while she was being raped in the living room. This poster (using user ID: [redacted]@aol.com) made it appear that [redacted] was somehow employed or working at the behest of the Church of Scientology, while giving credence to the scurrilous claim that someone from the Church had engaged in the rape of a woman. (TAB C)

b6
b7C

To keep this charade up, [redacted] then responded on March 28th to the posting by [redacted]@aol.com" by making a public apology for the evil actions which he claimed he took on behalf of the Church of Scientology wherein he admitted to being a participant in the alleged acts against [redacted] [redacted] (TAB D)

b6
b7C

This series of false allegations between [redacted] and [redacted]@aol.com" resulted in a police investigation into the allegation that a rape had taken place which involved members of the Church of Scientology. This was subsequently dropped when the real [redacted] got in touch with the police and confirmed that although [redacted] had been raped once in 1982, it was not at all as described on the internet and she had not had any part in the postings that were being done in her name. [redacted] confirmed that these postings were in fact being forged.

b6
b7C

If there was a rape of [redacted] it had nothing whatsoever to do with my client, and furthermore under Florida law, it is a criminal act to publicly disclose the name of a rape victim. Doing so over the internet compounds the crime due to the potentially large number of readers.

b6
b7C

Finally, on June 2nd it was revealed by an anonymous message which was posted to alt.religion.scientology, that [redacted] himself had set up the [redacted]@aol.com" account in the name of [redacted] a student at the

b6
b7C

University of Florida in Gainesville. According to this information, [redacted] pays for this account in the name of [redacted] [redacted] by "electronic transfer" and was using this account to forge messages from [redacted] (TAB E)

b6
b7C

It is clear that [redacted] stage managed this whole scenario in order to maliciously defame my client and hold them up to scorn and contempt on the internet.

b6
b7C

The following are the names under which [redacted] has established accounts at AOL:

[redacted]

(He uses the screen name of [redacted]@aol.com")

[redacted]

(This is the account through which [redacted] posted the messages from [redacted]@aol.com")

b6
b7C

[redacted] has shown by his irresponsible and criminal acts that he has violated the terms of use on the internet and therefore should be removed from America Online.

b6
b7C

Feel free to contact me if I can be of any assistance in providing you with more information.

Sincerely,

[redacted]

b6
b7C

1 JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO
2 United States Attorney

3 [Redacted]

b6
b7C

4 Chief, Criminal Division

5 [Redacted]

6 Assistant United States Attorney

7 450 Golden Gate Avenue
8 San Francisco, CA 94102
9 Telephone: (415) 556-4227

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

14 Plaintiff,

Criminal No.: CR 88-0616-DLJ

DECLARATION OF

15 v.

16 [Redacted]

b6
b7C

17 Defendant.

18 b6
b7C

19 I, [Redacted] hereby declare, under penalty of
20 perjury, the following to be true:

- 21 1. I am an Assistant United States Attorney and have
22 been assigned the prosecution of the above-captioned case.
- 23 2. Attached is a summary of the fraudulent transactions
24 or claims made by the defendant during the history of this case.
25 See Attachment 1. The list is in alphabetical order with the
26 court listed being the federal court where the fraudulent claim
was presented. The claim date is the actual date in which the

1 false claim was submitted to the district court. For example, the
2 first claim presented is that of AIA Industries. The court was in
3 the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. The date of the claim is
4 April 11, 1988.

5 3. Using this document, we see that the first known
6 claim was on September 6, 1983. It involved ITEL and was located
7 in the Northern District of California, before Judge Aguilar. In
8 1984, there were at least four separate false claims submitted.
9 They were: the First Chicago claim on March 14, 1989; Techamerica
10 on May 24, 1984; Wickes Co. on December 7, 1984; and Texas Instru-
11 ment on December 17, 1984. These were all false claims submitted
12 by the defendant.

13 4. In 1985, the defendant was involved in nine addi-
14 tional false claims. The claims were filed in district courts
15 throughout the nation.

16 5. According to the affidavit of [REDACTED]
17 filed in the motion to quash subpoenas in this case, the defendant
18 joined the Church of Scientology on or about February 8, 1986.
19 See Attachment 2. [REDACTED] is the Executive Director of the
20 Church of Scientology in Fort Lauderdale. The defendant obtained
21 a free membership for initial service on that date. I am not
22 aware of any record establishing affiliation with the Church by
23 the defendant before that date.

24 6. The defendant has already acknowledged he joined the
25 Church in February 1986. See Attachment 3. In a letter to his
26 [REDACTED] dated April 21, 1986, the defendant represented he

DECLARATION OF [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

-2-

1 joined Scientology on February 8, 1986. The [redacted] ter indicates this
2 was something he freely did. Also, I am familiar with the signa-
3 ture of the defendant since I have reviewed numerous documents he
4 signed. This signature, on Attachment 3, is that of the defen-
5 dant.

6 7. During the history of this investigation, I am aware
7 the FBI has interviewed [redacted]

8 [redacted] These people were
9 all involved in the scheme. They were solicited to join by the
10 defendant. They were given proceeds from the fraud by the defen-
11 dant. However, they were never members of the Church of Scien-
12 tology. In fact, I am aware of no members of the Church of
13 Scientology who were involved in any way with conduct related to
14 this fraudulent scheme. Furthermore, none of these people have
15 indicated that the defendant represented to them he was doing any
16 of these acts for a force or group other than himself.

17 8. Subsequent to his arrest, the defendant sought to
18 attribute his illegal conduct to the Church. He provided a prof-
19 fer that the Church was directly responsible. Shortly thereafter,
20 he alleged the Church was attempting to have him commit suicide
21 because of what he knew. Documents allegedly were sent him from
22 the Church. Also, he claimed the Church was mailing him poi-
23 sons. Finally, his attorney received a telephone threat from the
24 Church. This caused the FBI to investigate the allegations.

25 9. The FBI investigation led to the interviews of [redacted]

26 [redacted] They separately related to Special Agent

DECLARATION OF
[redacted]

b6
b7C

-3-

b6
b7C

1 [redacted] of the FBI that the defendant solicited them to engage
2 in the suspicious mailings and threats described in paragraph 6.
3 They identified their voices on taped messages claimed to come
4 from Scientology. They also identified the materials they mailed
5 to the defendant that were attributed to being sent by the Church.

6 10. As a result of this behavior, the defendant has
7 been arrested for obstruction of justice (18 U.S.C. § 1512(b)(1)),
8 and making a threatening phone communication (18 U.S.C. § 875(c)
9 and 2). See Attachment 4. He has also been indicted in the
10 Southern District of Florida for this offense. Defendant's
11 attorney, [redacted] of the law firm of Nurik & Kyle, Miami,
12 Florida, also received the attached letter which he believed came
13 from the Church. In fact, the defendant had it sent. The
14 reference in paragraph 2 to [redacted] present [redacted] meeting his first
15 [redacted] was viewed as a threat by [redacted] See Attachment 5.

16 11. In the course of this investigation, it was learn-
17 ed, through the subpoena of financial records, that the defendant
18 purchased several items with the proceeds of these fraudulent
19 claims. He purchased at least two cars, one a Cadillac Allente
20 and one another Cadillac. Also, he maintained a mortgage on a
21 condominium in Miami and a piece of property in North Carolina.
22 Furthermore, the FBI seized approximately \$300,000 in various
23 Florida bank accounts of the defendant. These funds were seized
24 at the time the defendant was arrested. These accounts were under
25 the control of the defendant. They were not under the control of
26 the Church of Scientology, nor any member of the Church.

DECLARATION OF

[redacted]

b6
b7C

period of this case, was a "notary public." He received considerable publicity for his quick marriages and operated the business as a sole proprietorship. See Attachment 6. He would go anywhere to marry a couple, from the front of a Slurpee machine to a 47-room Palm Beach mansion. This does not seem consistent with the conduct of an automaton. Also, the defendant was arrested on July 31, 1988, as he was arriving from Madrid, Spain. He had been on a European vacation. On certain occasions he travelled with [redacted] then under the age of eighteen, to the City of Las Vegas, Nevada. He also went on an ocean cruise with [redacted] as well as on a separate voyage with a blonde prostitute. On at least one occasion, the defendant indicated interest in placing an ad in the "Busan" (sic Pusan) Daily News of Pusan South Korea as he was to be travelling in South Korea on business. See Attachment 7 and Attachment 8, generally.

13. The defendant has been residing the past several years in the City of Miami, Florida. Miami itself has a population of 373,940 (Bureau of the Census, July 1986). The metropolitan area of Miami - Fort Lauderdale has a population of

///

///

///

///

///

///

DECLARATION OF

[redacted]

b6
b7C

2 1980-1986. It is the eleventh largest metropolitan area in the
3 United States.

4 DATED: October 5, 1989
5

6 [Redacted Signature Box]
7

8 Assistant United States Attorney
9

b6
b7C

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

DECLARATION OF

[Redacted Name Box]

b6
b7C

Affidavit

I, [redacted] do depose and say:

b6
b7C

1. I am a Special Agent with the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) and have been so employed for the past twelve and one-half years. I have conducted an investigation which has disclosed the following facts.

2. On July 31, 1988, [redacted] was arrested by your affiant at the Miami International Airport as he deplaned from Iberia Airlines Flight 965 from Madrid. [redacted] was arrested based on a criminal complaint warrant issued on July 7, 1988, in the Northern District of California, San Francisco, California for devising a scheme and artifice to defraud over 20 Federal Class Actions Lawsuits being heard in Federal Courts in the Northern District of California as well as other Federal Districts throughout the United States, in violation of Title 18, U.S.C. Sections 1343, 1341, 371 and 1001. The amount of the fraud is in excess of \$800,000.

b6
b7C

3. On August 1, 1988, [redacted] appeared before U.S. Magistrate Linnea Johnson, Southern District of Florida, and was released on \$100,000 personal surety bond to be cosigned by his father and also a \$100,000 ten percent bond. [redacted] has since been indicted in the Northern District of California for the matters described in paragraph two. [redacted] attorney in the above matter is [redacted] of the law firm of NURIX and KYLE, 2937 S.W. 27th Avenue, Miami, Florida.

b6
b7C

4. Subsequent to [redacted] arrest he began receiving packages at his apartment, [redacted]

b6
b7C

Lauderdale, Florida, which contained aerosol cans of freon, lubricants and other solvents and documents purported to be from the Church of Scientology of which [] is an admitted member. According to [] this material was to be used by FISHMAN to "commit suicide" and that the Church of Scientology was responsible for sending the packages.

b6
b7C

5. On November 9, 1988, your affiant placed a telephone recording device at the request of [] on [] at his apartment, located at [] [], for the purpose of consensually monitoring telephone conversations between [] and unknown and known members of the Church of Scientology.

b6
b7C

6. On December 13, 1988, [] said he received a telephone call at approximately 12:00 midnight from a male individual who identified himself as [] last name unknown purportedly an operative of the Church of Scientology. [] provided the original cassette tape and a transcript of the above conversation to your affiant. [] also furnished an interpretation of the conversation which is, in part, in coded terminology known by members of the Church of Scientology. According to the interpretation by [] this conversation was a death threat to [] psychiatrist. For example, at one point during the recorded conversation [] tells [] that [] will be saying 'codish' [sic, Kaddish] for [] next Thursday at 2".

b6
b7C

7. On January 9, 1989, your affiant served a Federal Grand Jury subpoena on [redacted] relating to the indictment of [redacted] in San Francisco, California. At this time [redacted] introduced himself as [redacted] and advised that he had done a favor for [redacted] by making a telephone call for him and was paid \$40.00.

b6
b7C
b3

8. On January 13, 1989, [redacted] advised that [redacted] picked him and [redacted] up at [redacted] at approximately 10:30 p.m. the evening of December 12, 1988, and drove them to Coral Gables, Florida for the purpose of making a telephone call to [redacted] residence at midnight. [redacted] advised that during the drive to Coral Gables, Florida, [redacted] had him rehearse the conversation which he, [redacted] was to say. [redacted] provided [redacted] with a script of the conversation. Upon arrival at the Denny's Restaurant, Coral Gables, Florida, [redacted] gave [redacted] \$20.00 in U.S. currency and \$3.00 in quarters with instructions to call him from the coin telephone at his apartment, #1102, at midnight and repeat the conversation which [redacted] provided him. After the conversation took place he and [redacted] waited for [redacted] to pick them up and return to Hollywood, Florida, at which time [redacted] gave him another \$20.00 in U.S. currency and asked for the telephone script back.

b6
b7C

9. On January 17, 1989, [redacted] listened to a recorded telephone conversation between [redacted] last name unknown, and [redacted] which took place on December 13, 1988. Subsequent to listening to the conversation, [redacted] stated

b6
b7C

that that was his voice and the conversation was the one which [redacted] had him to rehearse and paid him \$40.00 to make the call. In the opinion of the undersigned [redacted] voice and [redacted] voice are identical.

b6
b7C

10. On January 13, 1989, your affiant learned that after he served the subpoena on [redacted] on January 9, 1989, she contacted [redacted]. Thereafter, on January 10, 1989, [redacted] came to the residence of [redacted] and gave [redacted] \$50.00 telling him [redacted] should not tell the FBI that he had been paid by [redacted] to make the phone call of December 13, 1988, but, instead, to manufacture a cover story that he was working for one [redacted] LNU.

b6
b7C

FURTHER AFFIANT [redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted]
[redacted] SPECIAL AGENT
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Sworn to and Subscribed
Before me on this 26 day
of January, 1989.

[redacted]

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE

Certified to be a true and correct copy of the original
Robert M. March, Clerk
U.S. District Court
Southern District of Florida
By [Signature]
Deputy Clerk
Date 1-30-89

>being paid "electronically" by Steven Fishman, probably from a bank
>account. Call America Online at 1-800-827-6364 and get put through to
>the billing department. You will have to wait a long time but if you
>say you are Fishman and want to get a current statement on the
>"lavends@aol.com" account you can verify what I have said.

>I suppose making that the truth known, that Fishman is a compulsive
>liar, will get him a certain amount of sympathy on ars. So be it.
>Maybe his lies about how Scientology made him do it all will still be
>believed. People will believe what they want to in the final analysis,
>whether based on fact or not.

>As certain as the sun rises, Fishman was responsible for the
>"lavends@aol.com" postings and is lying to the newsgroup trying to
>mislead one and all. Truth is something which Fishman has shown he
>cannot deal with.

>An anonymous hacker

>(If you re-post this, please delete the personal information about
>XXXXXX as she may simply be an innocent victim.)

>=====
>(The following is a quote from Steve Fishman dated: Wed
>May 08 1:39:45 PM 1996; Message-Id:
><4mqpph\$kt2@dfw-ixnews7.ix.netcom.com>)

>>I never thought I would have to make this ridiculous satement, but
>>I am NOT Lavenda Van Schaick, never have been and never will be!

>>I do not post anonymously, under anyone esle's name, and I made
>>that clear from day one after the first forged Fishman post
>>appeared. Under oath I would say the very same thing.

>>Steve Fishman
>>The a.r.s. Rottweiler

>=====

Like I said, who's zoomin' who?

Rev. Dennis L Erlich * * the inFormer * *
<dennis.l.erlich@support.com>
<inForm@primenet.com>

1 Church or any Church representative since July, 1989. Nor have I
2 ever sought such contact since July, 1989.

3 5. On my [redacted] I was [redacted]

4 [redacted] In September, 1989, I started [redacted] at
5 [redacted]

6 6. In late 1990, [redacted] arrived at FCI. He was
7 assigned to work in the Education Department, where [redacted]

8 [redacted] I had [redacted] at that
9 time.

10 7. I initially wanted nothing to do with [redacted] His
11 appearance and behavior, including overly friendly advances to a
12 great many inmates, alternating with secretive and seemingly
13 paranoid mannerisms, indicated that he was someone to avoid.

14 8. I observed, however, that he had quickly built up a group
15 of approximately 30 inmates as followers, mostly known
16 homosexuals, informers and by-in-large weak-minded individuals
17 willing to perform menial tasks for him. He also quickly became
18 very involved in the prison's computer system, spending long
19 hours working with a select two or three other inmates from his
20 following who claimed, as [redacted] did, to be highly skilled and
21 experienced in this area. One of these inmates was an
22 individual, known to be serving time for fraud, who was openly
23 very close to FCI's captain of the guards. This individual was
24 particularly knowledgeable at computers and electronics, having
25 reportedly designed the alarm system then in place at FCI.

26 9. I also observed [redacted] attempting to place his name on
27 every possible organized inmate activity, short of sports, in
28 order to falsely claim in-depth and sincere participation and L.M.

1 gain credit for "good behavior." For example, although his actual
2 attendance was infrequent, he asked me to mark down his supposed
3 participation in the Spanish class I taught during evenings.

4 10. One day when I was reading a Dianetics book, [redacted]
5 approached me and claimed that he remembered seeing me at the
6 Scientology Church in Coral Gables. From that point, [redacted]
7 claimed we had something in common and "befriended" me,
8 approaching me for at least a few minutes on virtually a daily
9 basis to discuss his activities, supposed abilities and future
10 plans.

11 11. [redacted] regularly claimed that he was planning to sue
12 the Church of Scientology for millions of dollars and was
13 compiling information and allied former members of the Church to
14 join him. While he would regularly attack the Church bitterly in
15 our conversations, encouraging me to join in his supposedly
16 forming lawsuit, he would also regularly and enthusiastically
17 promote the benefits of Dianetics to his fellow inmates as if he
18 loved the Church. Such actions further showed that he could
19 easily pretend to possess sincere convictions if it served his
20 ends.

21 12. [redacted] was well known in FCI for the large amount of
22 junk mail he received. This was all caused by his own actions.
23 As he worked in Education and the library, he had first access to
24 magazines that came in and thus was able to obtain and send off
25 the postage-free business reply cards that were commonly inserted
26 between the pages for brochures and free merchandise. He told me
27 in course of this activity that he would blame the Church of
28 Scientology for the ensuing receipt of unauthorized junk mail. L.M.

1 He told me that such actions and bogus accusations would advance
2 his position in his planned suit against Scientology. Indeed,
3 before long, [redacted] was literally receiving mail bags full,
4 including x-rated materials, for which I understand he has
5 falsely blamed the Church.

b6
b7C

6 13. [redacted] would often approach me to claim that he had
7 been able to steal money out of banks through computer systems,
8 that he had businesses and a lot of hidden money on the outside.
9 Shortly before my scheduled release in July, 1991, [redacted]
10 approached me daily for two to three weeks, attempting to gain my
11 agreement to participate in a forming enterprise involving bank
12 fraud once I was out.

b6
b7C

13 14. [redacted] claimed that his plan was to utilize his
14 knowledge of the banking system and of computers to engage in a
15 plan to extract large amounts from banks. [redacted] explained that
16 this plan included compromising one or more bank employees,
17 including supposed contacts he claimed he already had, who could
18 provide access to the private financial data of bank customers.
19 He claimed that once accessed, he could manage, through his
20 computer, a complex series of unauthorized electronic withdrawals
21 and deposits of a string of customers' monies over several
22 accounts in ways that would avoid detection and that would
23 ultimately and illegally net [redacted] and his cohorts large sums.

b6
b7C

24 15. [redacted] further stated that I should contact [redacted]
[redacted] individual named [redacted] in Miami upon my release to get
26 him involved in the plan. [redacted] claimed that [redacted] had a
27 relative who used to work in the Republic National Bank in Miami
28 and that he was also a close friend of [redacted] a man from L.M.

b6
b7C

1 Capitol Bank in Southern Florida.

2 16. About a week before my scheduled release, [redacted]
3 approached again, asking for my expected phone number and address
4 so that we could get together on the outside following his
5 release and work on his projects. I told [redacted] that I wanted
6 nothing to do with suing the Church or the banking computer scam.

b6
b7C

7 17. Then, with my release just days away, I was summoned by
8 the Captain on July 3, 1991 and immediately thrown into solitary
9 confinement (known at FCI as the "Special Housing Unit"). I was
10 held in the hole for 72 ^{days} ~~hours~~ before any explanation was offered
11 for the action. That explanation was the false accusation that I
12 had planned to kill [redacted] with a small screwdriver I possessed
13 for changing frequencies on my radio -- this accusation
14 supposedly coming on the "strength" of a telephoned "tip" from
15 outside.

b6
b7C

16 18. [redacted] too was held a few days in solitary in that Unit
17 at the beginning of my lockdown, supposedly for his protection.
18 When I saw him being led out of the building after this period, I
19 asked him from my cell what had occurred. He claimed that
20 nothing was wrong, it had been an error and that I should be
21 released from the Unit shortly. I never saw [redacted] again. I
22 was held in the hole at the Special Housing Unit for another 69
23 days following this. During this period, I was informed by an FCI
24 staff member ^{FUNIT} who worked with [redacted] that it was [redacted]
25 accusations of my being directed by the Church to kill him that
26 had caused this solitary confinement. Those accusations were
27 entirely false. I have never threatened, planned or attempted to
28 cause him harm at any time, much less at the suggestion of any L.M.

b6
b7C

1 Church of Scientology.

2 19. At the end of this period of solitary confinement, I was
3 immediately transferred out of FCI to another facility near
4 Jacksonville. As a result of false statements, clearly
5 retaliation for my refusing to participate in his post-prison
6 plans at a Scientology lawsuit and bank fraud, I was not released
7 from the federal prison system until January, 1992, six months
8 later than scheduled.

9 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the
10 United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

11 Executed this 24th day of May 1994 at Miami, Florida
12 Florida.

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

(09/19/94)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Precedence: ROUTINE

Date: 01/15/1997

To: Los Angeles

From: Los Angeles

Squad C-1

Contact: SA [redacted]

Approved By: [redacted]

Drafted By: [redacted]

skn

Case ID #: 9A-LA-198191 (Pending)

Title: UNSUB;
CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY - VICTIM;
THREATENING COMMUNICATIONS

Synopsis: Captioned matter being closed administratively.

Details: Captioned matter was initiated based on a message posted to the Internet on 5/17/96 wherein an anonymous writer, "Concerned citizen", warned that [redacted] a former Church of Scientology member, was planning terrorist acts against the church and its members. The terrorist acts included the assassinations of church members John Travolta, Tom Cruise, Kirstie Alley, Parker Stevenson, and an attack at the premiere of the movie Mission Impossible. The message was transmitted via an anonymous re-mailer in order to hide the identity of the true sender.

[redacted] Attorney for the Church of Scientology, provided extensive background information to FBI Los Angeles regarding [redacted] whom the Church believes is responsible for posting the warning message. [redacted] is known to partake in personal on-line fueding against [redacted] via the Internet news group "Alt.religion.scientology", a discussion forum used to criticize the Church. During an interview by FBI Miami, however, [redacted] adamantly denied having any involvement in or prior knowledge of the Internet message. Investigation conducted to identify the sender of the message met with negative results and failed to link [redacted] to the message.

CLOSED

4-CLOSED ADMINISTRATIVELY

5-USA DECLINATION

6-RUC, INVESTIGATION OR PROSECUTION COMPLETED

SEARCHED [initials] INDEXED [initials]
SERIALIZED [initials] FILED [initials]
JAN 21 1997
FBI - LOS ANGELES

JMS
1/15/97

3Clerke2.EC

9A-LA-198191-10

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

To: Los Angeles From: Los Angeles
Re: 9A-LA-198191, January 15, 1997

During subsequent contacts with [redacted] Security Director, Church of Scientology, [redacted] indicated that the Church of Scientology had recently been the victim of other threats, unrelated to captioned matter. [redacted] advised he was therefore pursuing the matter and in the process of compiling additional information regarding the activities of [redacted] to be forwarded directly to the writer. To date, no additional information has been forwarded by [redacted]

b6
b7c

Inasmuch as there has been no evidence of planned terrorist or threatening action related to the posted Internet message, the message does not appear to be a legitimate threat against the Church of Scientology. Also, inasmuch as no additional information has been developed and no additional related threats/warnings have been posted to the Internet regarding the Church of Scientology, it is recommended captioned matter be closed administratively.

◆◆